

Too Many are Turning Science Into a Religion

September 16, 2014 at 3:19pm

<https://www.facebook.com/467083139976777/photos/a.468456309839460.112956.467083139976777/7/727109717307450/?type=1&theater>

<https://www.facebook.com/answersforatheists/photos/a.237194313127558.1073741836.181573948689595/256007861246203/?type=1&theater>

<https://www.facebook.com/theskepticsguide/photos/a.10150497216386605.426482.16599501604/10152556056601605/?type=1&theater>

Scientific Laws, Theories, even ideas and conjectures posed by scientists in recent history today are being taken as Gospel by millions of innocent little children (many of which have grown into adulthood). Satanists, atheists, communists and other versions of anti-christs purposefully crept into public education with their deceptive ideologies and have forcefully been doing their very best to put away any and all mention of our Creator, Creation, and Intelligent Design. These wicked persons were mentored by those at the highest levels that have purposefully studied mass brainwashing techniques and have been utilizing them against the global populace through propaganda and forced public indoctrination (in all those manifestations). I expose the details of how this was accomplished and why in my notes:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/strategies-of-war/674592165953079>

There are many factors that cause suppression of actual science/knowledge; such as greed that prevents manufacturers from going with making something as simple as lasting batteries: https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=long+lasting+crystal+cells in favor of inefficient ones that force you to buy the short lived, poor quality batteries week after week. That pervasive GREED likewise causes INTENTIONAL manufacturing defects AND suppression of innovations that would make old technologies obsolete; such that virtually everything we buy is designed to be short lived (intentionally break within a given amount of time, inherently flawed) I could point to an endless list of examples, but the evidence for this claim is so ubiquitous, I consider it unnecessary. But ultimately as my notes expose the number one factor suppressing science and knowledge is anti-

christian worldviews (the spirit of anti-christ, ignorance, deception, operating in people with or without their permission).

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/satanilevil-god-of-this-world-vs-the-one-true-god-of-all-creation-part-1/633571873388442>

When people curse you never hear them use any of the idols all over the world to do so; they refer to God or Jesus Christ; when "atheists" file law suits, I have yet to hear of one suit against the islamization of America, or against any other worldview but Christianity, anti-christian literature is in religious texts of these violent worldviews, and pervasive in public indoctrination and media:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/satanilevil-god-of-this-world-vs-the-one-true-god-of-all-creation-part-2/633572213388408>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/propaganda/528691883876442>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886>

Rockefeller/Rothschilds and such persons as took over central banks (issuance of national currencies) then took over public indoctrination and media and have been brainwashing the masses ever since; causing people to THINK they are "educated"; when they actually have been victimized and are presenting themselves as if they were:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/mentally-challenged/575010789244551>

Many victims REFUSE to look at actual science:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evidence-of-god-our-creator/568134419932188>

And intentionally choose to remain willfully ignorant or in favor of practicing:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/stupidity/527894057289558>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mtBz1roiQR8&list=PLEA099CE59F0A0322#t=9158> - just listen to some of the fiction being taught innocent children in the name of science at this time in the video.

In my notes such as:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/religion-in-the-name-of-science/710078699071092>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/gravity/710872032325092>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/how-to-know-truth-in-a-world-of-lies/622590531153243>

I address common fallacies of thought taught in the name of science these days. For example formulae designed to show simple observations like the identity principle. Formulae such as $F=ma$ and $e=mc^2$ and all related formulae are designed to express a measurable effect of energy/force applied to mass. THEY ARE NOT DESIGNED TO SAY THAT FORCE/ENERGY and MASS are synonymous or equivalent terms! $F=ma$ is closer to being fundamentally true than $e=mc^2$ because $F=ma$ recognizes that applied force to mass is a VARIABLE; not a constant! so $e=mc^2$ immediately states something fundamentally FALSE; in that applied energy to various mass' is NOT CONSTANT! But I have already addressed other reasons why I believe these formulae have NEVER satisfied the scientific method (been observed and "proven" by science); already in the notes I have cited. Namely because such formula exclude all the other variables in observable reality. (the ubiquitous presence of various kinds of energy other than velocities acting upon all mass and the presence of other mass than the subject being measured by such formulae). In other words, many people calling themselves "scientists" CLAIM these are PRECISE, and I say RUBBISH! Force can be measured generally and approximately by a designated amount of applied velocity to mass, but ignoring the presence of gravity, friction due to the presence of other mass, chemical energy due to presence of other molecules, nuclear energy due to the presence of atoms, and so on; is completely excluded by such postulates. It is true that applied velocity to mass will increase the

measured amount of force or energy out (the apparent/observable ability of a given mass to work will increase proportionally to applied energy to that given mass - identity principle) Energy or Applied Force to mass is NEVER exactly observed coming out due to the previously stated; ignored factors. Even assumed "closed systems" have never been observed by anyone with the exception of our Creator and such persons as He may have shown the Creation Origin of our Universe to. (Nothing you can observe on earth or in the nearby universe is truly a "closed system"; not even man-made "vacuums").

Think a little more on the "theory of relativity". People think that gravity bends time; has an observable effect on time. Ask yourself just what is time? Is time mass/matter? Is time a physical, tangible object? Time is a unit of measurement. It can be any increment we decide. What does time measure? Well for most of us, it measures the velocity of the rotation of the earth; broken up into various increments hours, minutes, seconds. So it is actually a measurement of distance in a way. (like the term of "light years", time is measuring the rate at which an object moves once around our earth commonly.)

Universal Time Began the Moment our Creator Brought the Universe into existence. "In the Beginning..."

<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=451991028213195&set=a.115635768515391.22520.100002069048072&type=3&theater>

I know many think our universe and the mass in it is infinite; but our Creator has numbered and named every star. I know it's popular to think that there is no origin of the universe; but I believe one day what we observe will show otherwise. Regardless, time measures distance, for most of us the duration of our journey on earth, how many times we have moved around our rotating earth with respect to the sun (how many rising and setting suns and moons we have observed). So is time linear? No, it isn't. Time is an intangible; an idea of whatever increment we decide to specify. And it radiates in all directions from initiation. Therefore can gravitational force or any other "natural", "physical" energy actually affect time? No, it cannot. (only in our imaginations) It might affect the movement on the hands of a clock or watch, or other visible mass/matter in various ways.

But whatever increment/distance we designate for time remains constant and unaffected by gravity or any other form of energy (the only thing that affects time is our imagination, what we decide/designate as the distance to be measured and by what increment). I remember watching those films like <http://gizmodo.com/5765603/this-animated-video-simplifies-einsteins-complicated-twin-paradox> even as a child and thinking that the animation is depicting an imagination that will never be observed. Health is adversely affected by traveling into outer space and it is certain that gravity may affect our cells, it may affect our organs, systems, etc. but it does NOT affect time. 20 years on earth, and 20 years in space, still 20 years, and no the dude will NOT come back younger than his twin; regardless of his velocity. Why? because aging has nothing to do with our rate of travel. Many factors cause signs of aging. Environmental factors and the programming in our cells by Design from our Creator. So travel to space if you want to; but if you spend 30 or 40 years in space, rest assured you will still age just as if you had spent those years on earth. (signs of your aging may differ due to a change in environment; but not time). The animation and the theory attempts to equate time with energy and the theory even tries to engage time with mass; when time is NOT energy, it is NOT light or has anything to do with the rate at which some photons may travel; it is nothing more than an imagined, designated

unit of measurement. When I discuss space/time or the Fourth Dimension, I discuss time as a designated increment to measure the rate, distance traveled of mass, matter that has scattered from the origin. That increment can be any unit imagined, very small or very large. Time is therefore an imagined and designated unit, an intangible concept used for such purposes as we have just discussed. (Contrary to some popular thinking these days, gravity or any other kind of energy or mass has no effect on time whatsoever).

Think of the fact that no two snowflakes have ever been observed as exactly alike.

https://www.google.com/search?q=no+two+snowflakes+alike&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&espv=2&biw=1066&bih=702&source=Inms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ei=4KQcVPuyMtiAygSchICACg&ved=0CAYQ_AUoAQ So if atoms and atomic structure and even subatomic particles were identical what causes every observable object to our naked eye to be dissimilar? I hate to make assumptions; but for arguments sake, lets say the particles that make up atoms are like building blocks or bricks (no two of those are alike either, but lets agree that they are for the sake of this argument). IT STILL TAKES INFORMATION/PLANS TO ARRANGE THOSE BRICKS INTO ONE STRUCTURE OR ANOTHER; as such, for atomic structure to differ as the Periodic Table shows, there must be an element of INFORMATION/PROGRAMMING; similar to DNA but smaller still; yet to be discovered. Since that INFORMATION fundamentally designs and distinguishes all matter that we have observed thus far in the universe INCLUDING TRAJECTORIES OF INDIVIDUAL PHOTONS; even the COLORS of individual photons, I would say that ANYONE CLAIMING THERE IS A CONSTANT ANYWHERE IN OUR OBSERVABLE UNIVERSE (with the exception of GOD ALMIGHTY) is making an extraordinary claim indeed!

I surmise such formulae may continue to be utilized as a means to approximate the identity principle as forms of energy applied to mass is directly proportional to the energy out of such system. energy in = energy out; cause and effect. BUT I HOPE IN THE STRONGEST TERMS that people will stop thinking energy is mass and mass is energy or matter is force and force is matter, etc. mass/matter is tangible and energy/force/ability to do work is intangible: tangible DOES NOT EQUAL intangible.

But in such notes, I posed a few questions regarding such theories as so many think have been proven and observed today. Theories like the many versions of the "big bang". Some say energy came before mass. (energy existed prior to and apart from mass) And so I ask (since they claim to KNOW this is science and PROVEN beyond all doubt), just what kind of energy was first? And HOW did that energy become all the various kinds of energy and forms of mass we observe presently? And furthermore what energy actually formed the first tangible particle(s) and just what was the first particle(s)? IS ALL MATTER FORMED BY A SINGLE IDENTICAL PARTICLE? If so what is that particle? If not, where did the variation of particles come from? And I could go on asking endless detailed questions ONLY TO PROVE TO THEM THAT WHAT THEY THINK OF AS SCIENCE IS NOT! That these questions have not been

answered or observed by them and while they laugh and scoff at Bible believers, they don't even understand what they claim as sure knowledge!

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/so-you-think-the-big-bang-is-scientific/620654451346851>

So then you find those that chime in "BUT WE HAVE OBSERVED THE FORMATION OF A GALAXY ONLY 500 MILLION YEARS AGO" and such statements as claiming to have ABSOLUTE PROOF that some galaxies are billions of years old, some hundreds of millions and so on. Let's think about those claims just a little bit.

Suppose Joe stands on a planet in the Orion system, Jane stands on a planet among the Pleiades, and Brian somewhere within the Sagittarius Constellation. You are in the Andromeda Galaxy and I am here on planet earth. We all light a candle designed to burn for "billions of years" at the exact same time.

And so a few billion years pass and Jody comes along and observes the light from all those candles from her retreat on Saturn's moon Titan. How would Jody KNOW when those candles were lit (unless it had been recorded in history)? Not one of us have visited distant galaxies or stars (unless God Almighty allowed you some means of remote viewing or Divine Revelation). Not one of us can therefore claim we know anything but what we have observed personally or verified personally; that was declared to us by others. When we view light from distant stars and galaxies (yes, I have read about all the known dating methods according to astrophysicists, and they may be convinced they are valid, (just like the many other theories they blindly follow these days as their chosen religion); but I am not. Not one radiometric dating method (especially those claiming deep time) have any support but assumptions, circular reasoning, and fiction. And the same is true about the claims of astrophysicists these days to have dated remote systems they have never visited; simply by a few observations of light and the assumed limits and constants about its travels and more assumptions about properties of stars. Most of those assumptions can be shown to be in error; some of which I have already pointed out in my notes.

"We've observed..." REALLY? and just WHO is "WE" and tell us all the details of how you personally "observed" the formation of an entire galaxy! Yet those same persons scoffed at me for making a simple statement that is scientifically valid. We know all mass in the universe came into existence simultaneously. I had wrongly surmised those claiming to be advanced astrophysicists were surely aware of such things as <https://www.physicsforums.com/threads/matter-cannot-be-created-or-destroyed.292304/> and http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conservation_of_mass if they argue with a statement of "But we've observed the formation of a galaxy 500 million years ago." 1) you know immediately you are conversing with an irrational person who can offer not one shred of proof for such

a statement 2) you know they are LYING regarding their claim to be a scientist of any kind whatsoever. OR throw out the law of conservation! It IS possible for smaller particles of mass to combine to form larger ones, and even for such particles to combine to the extent where previously unseen to the naked eye (or through telescopes, optical devices) they form large enough mass to become seen. It IS possible that droplets of mass and matter in space can collide, some burning, some not burning. WHEN they collide they may form something large enough to be seen that was not seen previously. THAT DOES NOT MEAN THE MASS THAT COMBINED TO FORM LARGER MASS DID NOT PREVIOUSLY EXIST!

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-dawkins-delusion/588126314599665>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/bill-nyes-science-lies/599335080145455>

Consider another way of looking at these claims to date stars. Some stars have been found to be enormous.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bcz4vGvoxQA>

Variations also in galactic spans:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UE8yHySiJ4A>

<https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=hawking%20says%20no%20black%20holes> - this is what I mean when you encounter people who think science is Gospel. (and that everything they have been told in the name of science is fact, fundamental, infallible TRUTH). I only want people to realize that simply isn't so.

The reason I pointed out enormous stars and galaxies (of the very limited observations made thus far of our universe) is to pose the question; if a star or galaxy is so enormous did the center of the star predate its exterior or vice versa? Likewise for galaxies (excluding collisions)? I am suggesting that just because the outer rim of galaxy is so far from its center has no relation as to whether or not mass/matter within said galaxy existed before or after. Just like our earth, I hear people all the time

make claims like this rock on our surface is a billion years old and another rock is only a few million years old, etc. So is mass on the interior of our own planet older or younger than the surface or is it all just a conglomeration of substances of various lengths of time? (Our planet nothing more than little bits of various asteroids that fused together over "billions of years" some with this element others with that, etc.)?

If one kind of energy preceded all mass, it surely isn't any form of energy described by physics! That energy had to be just as magical and intelligent as the abiogenic first life form! The life form that had within itself the ability to become billions of species some of which are so complex they are made up of trillions of cells! (I am trying to point out just how much insanity and LACK of knowledge you have to embrace to believe these proposed theories taught in the name of science are in any way actually scientific.)

The distance from us that an object is observed can NOT precisely date that object. "but light travels at a constant..." NO IT DOESN'T! BUT EVEN IF IT DID, such observation can only tell us approximately that such observed object must either have been very close to us and traveled at the current assumed velocity limit for mass or faster OR is at minimum a certain age. IT COULD BE OLDER, IT COULD ALL BE OLDER, IT COULD ALL BE THE SAME AGE! (the law of conservation claims it is - if anyone argues otherwise or can prove otherwise then it is time to throw out the law of conservation (of mass/energy)) So, they then argue "for a closed system". To which I respond there is no such thing; except the entire mass within our universe. But they want to claim they KNOW it is infinite! REALLY? HAVE YOU TRAVERSED THE ENTIRE UNIVERSE? DID YOU SEE IT'S CREATION?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CfA2_Great_Wall and http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hercules%E2%80%93Corona_Borealis_Great_Wall "10 billion light years across..." and obviously has grown in dimension since its first mention; it would be reasonable to propose that it will continue to be defined with more data collected. Ever notice how guesstimates regarding what we claim to "KNOW" keeps constantly changing? (yet with all that changing "knowledge" and "science" NOTHING has disproven the Biblical Account; rather, to the contrary, have ONLY VERIFIED and SUBSTANTIATED its contents; records that have been in existence for millenniums! <http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evidence-of-god-our-creator/568134419932188>

but... but... we KNOW light travels at a constant...

<https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=light+has+been+stopped>

http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/cosmology_faq.html#FTL - notice the excuses for attempting to validate a theory that is disproven by observation. (setting an arbitrary speed limit of "c" has been shown by ubiquitous observations of our universe to be FALSE/FLAWED! but too many people want to hold onto SR and the miscalculations it causes for calculation the speed of both the Electro-magnetic spectrum and objects by thinking WRONGLY that nothing travels faster than "c" and that all light travels at a constant velocity; when we have evidence that is simply NOT TRUE!)

<http://www.webexhibits.org/causesofcolor/18B.html> - read the caption "traveling at half the speed of light" btw ever notice how "scientists" are at liberty to invent words, ideas, etc. and those imaginations suddenly are accepted as facts by millions?

Just take a closer look at some of these statements that are being taught as science:

"the expansion happened in 1/100 billionth of a second" - Really? were you there? Did you see it? Is such a statement in any way justifiable?

"gravity formed the stars and galaxies and caused some to start spinning" REALLY? I thought gravity was a force attributed to mass? So on the one hand these "scientists" claim gravity (energy) formed mass, but on the other gravity is dependent upon mass. (Is it just me or is modern theoretical physics FULL of violations of the Law of Non-contradiction. In other words, they are turning science into science fiction and even religious scientism; making idols out of "science" and "scientists".)

(take a look at some of the content on <https://www.facebook.com/BigBangHoax> and realize that even the new theories <http://www.technologyreview.com/view/419984/big-bang-abandoned-in-new-model-of-the-universe/> ARE NOT GOSPEL! (both theories are rubbish, "contraction of the universe" has never been observed, because all matter in the universe has been expanding from the origin since the Beginning; via centripetal force in zero gravity. (Any attempt to take our Creator out of any cosmological theory AUTOMATICALLY RESULTS in fiction/flawed conclusions based on the immediate flawed premise (intentional omission of the CAUSE(GOD our Creator) for the observed effect (Creation) forces vain imaginations that are NOT scientific in any way. This does explain what we observe - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ri3R6vFVfEg>) Just because a scientist(s) poses an idea or hypothesis and even if many of them jump on the bandwagon behind such an idea, THINK ABOUT IT THOROUGHLY; before you consider it "scientific fact".

I could make a list of so many terms and false statements taught in the name of science these days as to be seemingly endless; if you don't believe me just look at the glossary and definitions of any basic college astrophysics course or exams in college level physics, astro-physics these days. Most of it is RUBBISH! FULL OF STATEMENTS that only prove our Creator gave mankind the ability to IMAGINE all kinds of wild notions! (but sadly many of those notions are not rationally or reasonably supported; ESPECIALLY WHEN SUCH "science" is trying IN VAIN to leave TRUTH/GOD our Creator out of mention or acknowledgment.

<https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=10152668514849669>

"when anti-matter collides with matter, the result is energy/photons" (so you try and find one example in the worldwide database where this has been observed... thousands of pages of people trying to figure out just what "anti-matter" actually is, and "dark matter" and "strong force" vs. "weak force" and so on; but no supporting observations or repeatable experiments to support any of it... that is FICTION being taught in the Name of Science! The only thing applied energy does to mass is just that applies energy to mass, the mass may then increase as its potential to attract other mass increases or it may break apart into smaller particles of mass. So far photons are considered to be smaller even than quarks (and at one time scientists tried to call them such things as gluons, anti-matter, along with the mesons that hold together nucleons) Every time I read such journals and discussions, I ask myself how many people have PERSONALLY OBSERVED such things; especially how many of those that consider all these things as "scientific fact", "science", "knowledge"; because I encounter people all the time that tell me "but we KNOW..." regarding all of these topics.

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=universal+microwave+background+radiation+all+about+the+same+temperature

- this current observation is offered in support of the big bang theory; but the universal presence of such energy falls into a category of what is considered light; attributes of light.

<http://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/41680/why-is-light-called-an-electromagnetic-wave-if-its-neither-electric-nor-magne> So we observe a universe of pervasive presence of light that must have come into existence so simultaneously that we get statements such as "the expansion happened in 1/100 billionth of a second" BUT SOMEHOW the observation of instantaneous universal presence of light is NOT considered supporting evidence for... where did I read that? Oh Yeah! The HOLY BIBLE!

"LET THERE BE LIGHT!" - <http://biblehub.com/genesis/1-3.htm>

What is being taught millions of students is CONJECTURE, FICTION; not even justifiable hypotheses! And it most certainly is NOT science!

"Before long the results were ready. Britain's premier scientific body, the Royal Society, called a special meeting in London on 6 November. Dyson, as Astronomer Royal took the floor, and announced that the measurements did not support Newton's long-accepted theory of gravity. Instead, they agreed with the predictions of Einstein's new theory. " -

<http://ircamera.as.arizona.edu/NatSci102/NatSci102/text/lightbend.htm>

Please note some factors not mentioned, considered or addressed: <https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=atmospheric%20anomalies%20causing%20visual%20distortions>

But my point is; you find many that claim ALL these modern scientific laws and theories and formulae have been PROVEN; yet you read in articles like the above that the same claimed observation offered as proof of one of those formulae, theories, disproves another and yet BOTH are claimed as scientific laws, facts, etc. <http://www.learner.org/courses/physics/unit/text.html?unit=10&secNum=4> Do all four of those "quasars" look the same to you? I agree that gravity bends light, that forces of energy can act upon photons and that mass can likewise affect photons; I believe those observations prove photons have mass; regardless of how small.

<http://www.fromquarkstoquasars.com/a-photons-journey-through-the-sun/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZrcMAN96Ezo>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=axUkUuj6aus>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=axUkUuj6aus#t=2164> - the velocity of electromagnetic radiation is determined by the product of the wavelength and the frequency. HOWEVER, due to the arbitrarily proclaimed SPEED LIMIT of "c" we get incorrect results and assumptions (a disregard of any and all scientific observations that prove things can travel faster than assumed "c" (and in cases of the EM spectrum SLOWER THAN the declared, imagined constant "c")); which causes not just flawed theories about our observable universe but a DENIAL in WHAT WE OBSERVE as miscalculations of both

wavelengths and frequencies of various objects and forms of energy in the universe; in VAIN ATTEMPTS to "prove" popular notions as being "science" or "scientific".)

<https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=spooky+action+at+a+distance+faster+than+light>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=axUkJUj6aus#t=3056> - there are MANY factors in our universe that effect both mass and energy (what we observe). The flow of current, movement of electrons due to electromagnetic force, nuclear force, gravity, and even forms of Light (one photon as opposed to another) have various levels of power/energy/force associated with different regions of the EM spectrum. Particle bombardment could be causing effects like traffic congestion on our roads. Suppose you happen to be stopped at a red light and behind you is a mile long parked vehicles, bumper to bumper, a Semi has their brakes fail and slams into the vehicle in the very back, but you end up getting pushed out into the intersection. The people driving perpendicular to your course then see you in the middle of the intersection (observed in their path). In this way vectors of observation need to be considered when attempting to plot stellar locations and distances. (Are you seeing something that is much closer that was pushed into your view? Or are you actually seeing something that traveled directly to your personal observation? or like a mirror on a lake, but a reflection of an object(s)?) When dating the origin of the Universe; try and consider some of the points I've made. 1) Which is older the center of our earth or the exterior? Which is older the center of a star or its exterior? Which is older the center of a galaxy or its outer reaches? Which is older an existing galaxy or ones that collided with it? 2) What forces; forms of energy and objects can affect ALL your observations? 3) When observing light streams how do you know when that observed light first came into existence? Has it bounced around? Has it been bent? Slowed? Sped Up? Are you seeing the end of light stream from an object that has been moving away from you or is that object getting closer? When saying you have observed the "birth of a star" or galaxy. Are you saying that particles, liquids solids and gases are combining to form larger visible objects? In the space station we observe some droplets floating and scattering; while other droplets collide and form larger objects. DOES THAT MEAN THAT MASS was "born" or does it simply mean WHAT WE OBSERVE, that mass that has already been in existence was gathered together or scattered as the case may be. (explosions versus molecular/chemical cohesion/covalent bonding/strong force/gravity/inertia or forms of other energy bringing such observances about)? I stand in doubt; based on what our Creator showed me, of all such claims to date galaxies and the mass in the universe; in general and particular.

Besides all the external factors of what we all "observe", people need to consider the fact that no two people are identical; we are all unique creations. SO unique that none of us perceives "reality" exactly the same as anyone else! WE ALL FILTER WHAT WE PERCEIVE VERY SUBJECTIVELY AND VERY PERSONALLY (even and especially those claiming to be "objective" scientists)! (so any statements of "we

know" are nothing more than people agreeing to make the statement "we know")

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=observation%20effect

Some obvious examples of how different people perceive "reality":

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=synthesia

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#tbm=vid&q=savants+documentary

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=blind%20show%20increased%20abilities%20in%20other%20senses

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=science%20explores%20extrasensory%20perceptions

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=remote+viewing

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=color%20blind%20art

Truly the list is as long as there are numbers of persons; we are all CLEARLY unique, and in that uniqueness perceive "reality" quite different from everyone else! (about the only real scientific evidence for all those claiming "we know" regarding most all of modern theoretical physics is that those persons have been successfully forcefully indoctrinated and molded into compliant, homogenous

automatons, lacking critical thinking skills due to the brainwashing; who regurgitate "we knows" as if they were simple computers successfully programmed to "repeat after me" instruction.)

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/conspiracy-theories-or-plain-truth/662377083841254>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/strategies-of-war/674592165953079>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evolution-and-atheism-intertwined-religions-of-the-insane/492209810857983>

Most of these people cannot even look at evidence contrary to their existing programmed dogma given to them daily over years in the name of science; in public education all over the world. Most of them cannot actually finish reading my notes because they factually have been so severely brainwashed; by methods that were researched by social engineers in black ops programs all over the world.

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=social%20engineering%20the%20study%20of%20mass%20brainwashing%20black%20ops

As such, those persons actually experience emotional and even physical trauma to the deprogramming information in my notes. (when truly objective, logical, reasonable, rational people should be able to have discussions peacefully and sanely, and actually look at facts and evidence; rather than intentionally ignore them (especially in favor of holding onto pure fiction instead).)

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evidence-of-god-our-creator/568134419932188>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-ineffably-awesome-holy-bible/621311364614493>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/no-more-brainwashing-no-more-lies-science-proves-who-god-is-part-1/518695411542756>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/no-more-brainwashing-no-more-lies-science-proves-who-god-is-part-2/518696871542610>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/why-i-reference-the-holy-bible-and-my-notes/709561849122777>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/religion-in-the-name-of-science/710078699071092>

What has happened to the public, generations of innocent children was all PLANNED by evil people who own the mints (print money on demand and control nations thereby).

<http://www.blastthetrumpet.org/PublicLetters/AAAUUpdatedPublicAlertsMattersofLifeandDeath/THE%20PLANNED%20DEMORALIZATION%20OF%20AMERICA.pdf>

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=how%20the%20rothschilds%20and%20satanic%20bloodlines%20control%20the%20world%20by%20controlling%20finance%20and%20then%20everything%20else

Everything the people of the world watch on television; with RARE exceptions are all approved "programming"; which is actual brainwashing and social engineering.

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/propaganda/528691883876442>

Most all that "programming" is designed to reinforce the forced indoctrination crammed into them in the name of "public education".

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=six%20major%20corporations%20own%20the%20mainstream%20media

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=alan+watt+predictive+programming

Suppose four people stand in four corners of a room and someone drops a paint balloon at a random location onto the floor. The splatter puts some of the paint closer to one observer and one corner than the other three. Some of the paint is close together, some of it sparsely splattered, which paint splatter is the oldest? Does the age of the paint have anything at all to do with where it is observed to have splattered on the floor; its proximity to any of the observers?

If one kind of energy existed before mass and matter how did that one energy become all the other kinds? and how did various particles differentiate likewise from one common source of "energy"? If all that determines elements is the number of protons, neutrons, and electrons why hasn't man succeeded in manufacturing gold from water or any other substance? If all protons, neutrons and electrons are all identical; what causes all the different properties observed in the elements? <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=axUkUuj6aus#t=4632> If all observed mass/matter is traveling as both particles and waves (sinusoidal spirals, frequencies) what is the reason for this apparent commonality? If quarks and subatomic particles are all identical then how does just the number of those particles and their arrangement with respect to each other make gases, liquids, solids, metals, stable, unstable, radioactive etc. elements? Is it possible that just like there is necessary INFORMATION (DNA) to DEFINE life; that there is INFORMATION also necessary to DEFINE elements? compounds, molecules, etc.

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/theory-of-everything/713158368763125>

People often wrongly assume that Bible believers resort to "god of the gaps". The "gaps" in knowledge by those who don't know our Creator are so wide; compared to what they do know, that it would be a

virtual endless quest to find that particle of knowledge in the vastness of universal ignorance. The reason those of us who KNOW our Creator; do know Him, is not that we consider ourselves all knowing; but because we had the sense to acknowledge just how much knowledge we lack and began a quest that led us to our Creator. In our humility, we recognized all the things modern science is attempting to describe as natural; in a desperate attempt by some to avoid any mention of the supernatural, that observations such as the presence of DNA to define one organism from another is clear evidence of Intelligent programming. Likewise, everything we observe in the universe; including the unique properties of our planet; denote Intelligent Design.

<https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=the%20unique%20properties%20of%20earth%20prove%20intelligent%20design>

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=the+unique+properties+of+earth+prove+intelligent+design

The questions I have posed that science is silence on (except for wild ideas and imaginations) are CLEARLY EXPLAINED TO US in the Holy Bible AND PRECISELY CORRESPOND TO WHAT WE OBSERVE!

The existence of DNA defining life; is explained in the Scriptures that talk about seeds and sperm as such. (Recent scientific discovery proving what is written and what we observe):

http://biblehub.com/interlinear/1_john/3-9.htm

Children of God ...8the one who practices sin is of the devil; for the devil has sinned from the beginning. The Son of God appeared for this purpose, to destroy the works of the devil. 9No one who is born of God practices sin, because His seed abides in him; and he cannot sin, because he is born of God. 10By this the children of God and the children of the devil are obvious: anyone who does not practice righteousness is not of God, nor the one who does not love his brother.

Notice the word "seed" in the original Greek is "sperma" : http://biblehub.com/greek/sperma_4690.htm

Look at all the places sperm is mentioned in the Holy Bible! LONG BEFORE IT WAS EVER EVEN OBSERVED IN MODERN HISTORY!!!!!!!!!!

http://biblehub.com/greek/sperma_4690.htm - Likewise, for millenniums the Holy Bible has stated that seeds of plants contain the knowledge to produce plants like they came from. That the seed of a fruit tree produces a tree like the fruit tree from which it came. <http://biblehub.com/genesis/1-12.htm>
Animals do likewise:

<http://biblehub.com/genesis/1-25.htm>

Our Eternal Creator tells us exactly how the universe came into existence. AND WHAT WE OBSERVE PROVES IT!

He tells us that what we see (mass, matter) is brought into existence by what is not seen (cannot be observed).

<http://biblehub.com/hebrews/11-3.htm> - By faith we understand that the universe was formed at God's command, so that what is seen was not made out of what was visible. (science TRIES to explain this by saying mass and energy are the same thing)

He further tells us He Created and Made everything by His Word, by speaking! (Could that be the reason all mass/matter has been observed to be traveling as both particles and waves/frequencies?)

John 1:1-14 Amplified Bible (AMP)

1 In the beginning [before all time] was the Word ([a]Christ), and the Word was with God, and the Word was God [b]Himself.

2 He was present originally with God.

3 All things were made *and* came into existence through Him; and without Him was not even one thing made that has come into being.

4 In Him was Life, and the Life was the Light of men.

5 And the Light shines on in the darkness, for the darkness has never overpowered it [put it out or absorbed it or appropriated it, and is unreceptive to it].

6 There came a man sent from God, whose name was John.

7 This man came to witness, that he might testify of the Light, that all men might believe in it [adhere to it, trust it, and rely upon it] through him.

8 He was not the Light himself, but came that he might bear witness regarding the Light.

9 There it was—the true Light [was then] coming into the world [the genuine, perfect, steadfast Light] that illumines every person.

10 He came into the world, and though the world was made through Him, the world did not recognize Him [did not know Him].

11 He came to that which belonged to Him [to His own—His domain, creation, things, world], and they who were His own did not receive Him *and* did not welcome Him.

12 But to as many as did receive *and* welcome Him, He gave the authority (power, privilege, right) to become the children of God, that is, to those who believe in (adhere to, trust in, and rely on) His name—

13 Who owe their birth neither to [c]bloods nor to the will of the flesh [that of physical impulse] nor to the will of man [that of a natural father], but to God. [They are born of God!]

14 And the Word (Christ) became flesh (human, incarnate) and tabernacled (fixed His tent of flesh, lived awhile) among us; and we [actually] saw His glory (His honor, His majesty), such glory as an only begotten son receives from his father, full of grace (favor, loving-kindness) and truth.

<http://biblehub.com/genesis/1-3.htm>

3Then God said, "Let there be light"; and there was light. (Could this be why the universe is flooded with photons? and that even those photons travel as both particles and waves?) frequencies, sinusoidal spiral waves are commonly associated with sound/words. atomic resonant frequencies is but another confirmation of the Biblical Account: <http://www.nuclear.kth.se/radioactiveorchestra/>

"Scientists had long realized that atoms (and molecules) have resonances; each chemical element and compound absorbs and emits electromagnetic radiation at its own characteristic frequencies." - <http://www.nist.gov/pml/general/time/atomic.cfm>

I have met far too many that think those who Know and Acknowledge our Creator are so ignorant; that they know nothing of science; but actual science; the observations we know of both earth and our universe confirms the Biblical Account; and not just one or two places; but in THOUSANDS!

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evidence-of-god-our-creator/568134419932188>

When those of us who know our Creator tell you that the whole universe is evidence for our Creator and the validity of the Biblical Account, we are not doing so out of ignorance; nor are we doing so as a resort to some kind of "god of the gaps" theory; but by knowledge; by SCIENCE. Not the kind of religious fervor built up around so many false theories, ideas, conjectures and imaginations, but by ACCURATE OBSERVATIONS; EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE did we follow those detailed observations directly to the Source!

So we don't waste our time with vain imaginations; but rather can tell you with all certainty; that if you really want to KNOW fundamental Truth; Learn Directly from our Creator! That way science will lead you to reality; instead of the many modern idolatrous religious persons today; worshiping any notion that leads away from the One True God.

http://biblehub.com/1_timothy/6-20.htm

Final Guidance 20 Timothy, guard what has been entrusted to you, avoiding worldly and empty chatter and the opposing arguments of what is falsely called "knowledge"-- 21 which some have professed and thus gone astray from the faith. Grace be with you.

Be sure of this. Any actual science, true knowledge will lead to our Creator and is a form of declaration about our Creator; confirming the Biblical Account.

Thus we know it took Intelligence to define everything we observe in all the Universe. It took Creative Thought; It took Faith (energy the ability to do work); It took Words, Sound, Frequencies, Vibrations, that define substance; that things that can be observed were made, exist and are presented by that which cannot be seen. (Invisible, intangible, INTELLIGENT, energy, DEFINES, Holds Together, what is Visible, tangible, and observed by us; in such a way that each observation testifies of the existence of our Eternal Creator.) Mass is presented by energy; mass is NOT equal to energy. Mass is dependent upon energy; energy is NOT dependent upon mass.

Science, actual knowledge proves the Biblical Account, it is time the whole world knew and places disproven theories that violate what we observe properly in the same category with all the other superseded scientific formulae, postulates, laws and theories that have been found to be in error. Then

maybe all the mass millions who revere their idols of science so religiously today; will leave this modern religion in favor of reality; in favor of KNOWING the ONE TRUE GOD; our Eternal Creator, the ONE AND ONLY Creator of the Universe!

<https://www.facebook.com/UniversalFreePress/photos/a.145136705532577.25873.142868065759441/739885129391062/?type=1>

The reason so many still remain blind to the Spiritual Realm, Truth, Knowing our Creator is similar to the reason an unfertilized egg in the womb of a woman is totally ignorant of the world beyond the womb. And even if that egg should be fertilized only hears the voices beyond distantly and sees or perceives virtually nothing of the world until they are born. That is, UNTIL YOU ARE BORN OF GOD OUR CREATOR, you cannot see, hear, or KNOW anything about the Spiritual Realm, the INVISIBLE reality that is present in all the Universe. Until you RECEIVE the GENETIC MAKEUP of GOD ALMIGHTY, you CANNOT KNOW HIM as your Heavenly FATHER!

<http://biblehub.com/galatians/3-16.htm>

16Now the promises were spoken to Abraham and to his seed. He does not say, "And to seeds," as referring to many, but rather to one, "And to your seed," that is, Christ.

http://biblehub.com/greek/spermati_4690.htm - here again Christ is referred to as the exclusive genetic makeup of GOD ALMIGHTY; this following scripture confirming.

<http://biblehub.com/colossians/1-15.htm>

The Supremacy of Christ 15He is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of all creation.

<http://biblehub.com/hebrews/1-3.htm>

The Supremacy of the Son ...2in these last days has spoken to us in His Son, whom He appointed heir of all things, through whom also He made the world. 3And He is the radiance of His glory and the exact representation of His nature, and upholds all things by the word of His power.

Our Creator; when He told us we must be born again to see the Kingdom of God; was simply stating fundamental Truth. He wasn't talking about mending your ways, or "turning over a new leaf" He was talking about a REAL BIRTH just like your physical birth! He was saying you ALSO MUST HAVE A SPIRITUAL BIRTH! (or you are not ALIVE; and that is the real reason you can't hear from God, or see Revelations from God, or see angels, demons, etc. etc. that is the real reason you are clueless to the Spiritual Realm all around you; because even a baby in a womb is equally clueless about the physical universe outside the womb; let alone an unfertilized egg!)


<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/you-must-be-born-again-of-the-holy-spirit-of-god/533516303394000>

Receive the Lord of the Universe today! Right now call upon Him wherever you are! Ask Him to forgive you of any and all sins, bad thoughts, bad words, bad deeds you have ever done. Ask Him to cleanse you from them; and come into your life and let you KNOW Him. Ask Him to personally teach you! Ask Him to personally Guide you! Ask Him to open your eyes and ears to the Spiritual Realm; to Hear His Voice and to be Mentored by Him personally! GO! and Get Baptized in His Holy Name, the Name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost; and ask Him to Give You His Holy Spirit to ever be with you and empower you to think, speak and do righteously; from this moment onward!

Peace and Every Virtue; Every Blessing be upon all who do so now and forever. Receive the Holy Spirit; in the Holy and Omnipotent Name of Yahoshuah Am Meschiach. Amen.

[LikeLike](#) · [Share](#)

- [Jackie Alcantar](#) likes this.
- [2 shares](#)




[Jackie Alcantar](#) Amen! And thank you for this

[September 16 at 6:32pm](#) · [Unlike](#) · [1](#)



Michael


Swenson <https://www.facebook.com/FFAF.International/photos/a.392139044163477.91994.390996674277714/822750967768947/?type=1&theater>




Freedom From Atheism Foundation (FFAF)

C.S. Lewis on The Meaninglessness of Atheism.

[September 17 at 4:24pm](#) · [Like](#) · [1](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



Michael Swenson <https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=10153084284774899>



[Check This Out: Evolution Refuted](#)

[02:36](#)

[Answers in Genesis](#)

This fun, informative, animated short explains two irrefutable scientific facts that make evolution unscientific.

[September 18 at 2:01pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)