

Dialogue with a muslim part 1

[February 4, 2015 at 10:47am](#)

Public

This actual chat took place between a muslim and myself. I record it so the world can see the effect of demoralization prevents people from being able to face facts, reality; even when their own life, soul and everlasting destiny is at stake. Those who know GOD, Yahoshuah, need to pray the Lord would mercifully open the eyes and ears of all who do not and penetrate the deceptions and delusions blinding them; especially muslims.

Anonymous muslim: Mr you seem to be a missionary, I will like to debate you on the Topic the Quran or the bible which is the word of God.

Michael Swenson

fine; answer the tens of thousands of atrocities I cite in my notes, rather don't; just repent and call on Yahoshuah. <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/holy-bible-versus-the-quran/719366671475628>

Anonymous muslim: Assalamu-alaikum wa rahamatullahi wa barakatuhu: The 'Charge' & Its Implications And they say: 'Tales of the ancients which he has caused to be written...' 'And they say: 'Tales of the ancients which he has caused to be written' This charge of borrowing ancient materials and composing it as the Qur'ân is, contrary to popular view among the Christians, not new. It is as old as its time of revelation. In fact the above quotation is from the Qur'ân itself! (Surah 25, verse 5). Since the Qur'ân, in the time of its revelation, talks about the people before them, as well as which was known to them from before, it was one of the excuses of unbelievers rejection of the Qur'ân. Missionary Christians are now faithfully echoing this tradition of the excuse- makers. So What Is The Implication Of This Charge? It is that the Qur'ân is not a divine revelation since most of it is found narrated in ancient texts and traditions, some of which are known to be myths, legends and fables, while other parts just plagiarized from the Judeo-Christian scriptures. Therefore, by implication again from this is that Muh ammad(P) is an imposter who is seen to be fabricating, plagiarizing and claiming to have received divine inspiration and thus deceived the people, i.e., Muh ammad(P) is an imposter and the Qur'ân is a deception. The Theory Of Religious Borrowing The main theme of the theory of borrowing is as follows: If a later text shows similarities to an older (i.e.earler) text(s) or tradition(s) or is similar to what is known (or thought to be known) before, then the later text has borrowed/plagiarized/ copied/utilized the 'previously known'. Ever since Abraham Geiger 's Was hat Mohammed aus dem Judenthume aufgenommen? in 1833 which gave an over- exaggerated view of the Jewish 'contribution' in the Qur'ân,

- the Jews, Christian and the Orientalists have gone at pains to show parallels in the Qur'ân from pre-Qur'ânic sources, thus to their satisfaction demonstrating Prophet Muhammad(P) 's spiritual debt to the Judeo-Christian heritage. The use of these 'scholarly' materials has become a missionary heritage in our present time. Some of which is seen at: Material for the Evaluation of the Sources of the Qur'ân (Show a similarity and call it a borrowed material) The Sources Of Islam (Basically a neat carbon copy of Tisdall's material) Islam Unveiled But before we go into that what needs clarification is whether similarities always imply borrowing. Does Similarities Imply Borrowing? Let's start with an example. Prophet Noah (P) was given certain commandments. So was Prophet Moses (P) after him. If we were to see that the followers of Prophet Noah(P) accusing of the followers of Prophet Moses (P) , how do we expect the followers of Prophet Moses (P) to respond? We might hear a response like this: The Law has come from the same source (from the one and only God), Of course we would expect similar teachings and not such as God is a twin or has a daughter from eternity etc., Any differences from absolute similarities would mean correction of the message that got corrupted, Any other differences would mean additional Law with the newer revelation, The new revelation from the same source comes with its own proof and evidences, otherwise anyone can attempt to invent 'revelation' (while not coming from that same source - God) and mislead many thereby. If there were no proofs or evidences then how can someone verify its truthfulness and authenticity, believe in it and accept it? We will thus see that the emphasis shifts to verifying the inherent proofs or evidences of the fresh revelation when older (or traces of the older) revelation still exists. Therefore, similarity between two revelations can even imply that the later revelation is from God. The Dilemma & The Double Standard Since it is the claim of the Christian missionaries that similarities imply borrowing then one can simply show the same in the Bible concerning the notion of God as depicted in the Old Testament as an aged deity, his dwelling place and heavenly court being borrowed from Ugaritic sources. The Flood narrative in Genesis and the Mesopotamian parallels are some of the most interesting parallels in the history. There are many more examples that can be shown. Now the key question is: Are the Christian missionaries now ready to accept the conclusions of the orientalists with regards to biblical data being borrowed from ancient texts? Surely it seems unlikely. They will readily say that biblical data is derived from the same source from which earlier data was generated albeit now corrupted, and biblical data is providing true narratives that ought to be. This is what we Muslims have been saying all along! That is, the Qur'ân corrects the previous texts, testifies to their truthfulness and falsifies their falsities. But this alternative theory puts them into a dilemma. Hence they are seen resorting to a double standard. They would accept the 'one source' theory when it comes to comparing biblical data with ancient literature or traditions; but resorts back to the borrowing theory when it comes to comparing Qur'ân with earlier literature or traditions. However, as we shall see, the problem lies elsewhere. The Theory Of Innovation It is not just the similarities between the Qur'ân and earlier texts but the differences also has given another problem to the missionaries. Suppose the Qur'ân was totally devoid of similarities, would they then accept it? Very unlikely. Rather they have come up with another theory: The theory of innovation. Why? Because it is not to be found in earlier revelation (which to them is the Bible). Because some of the concepts in the Qur'ân are not similar to earlier revelations, then they must have been invented. But this brings us back to square one. Parallels imply borrowing, so can't accept. Differences imply innovation, so can't accept. This is the millenium bug of missionary evangelism: the tautological war you can't win either way, any way bug. Missionary Tautology & Its Implication This methodology is used not

to prove why they don't believe in the Qur'ân; rather to deceive the uninformed Muslims to have doubts about the Qur'ân and to make them accept the Bible, as well as to prevent others from considering Islam. The Jews and the Christians will never be satisfied until you changed to their religion..... They wish that Qur'ân never had existed. The problem lies elsewhere! Now suppose Qur'ân had similarities which totally agreed in doctrines to that of the Bible, would they accept it then? We don't think so. It all comes down to Belief in the Bible being the only and last revelation of god with Jesus (P) being the revelation in person. Belief in the man-god idea, i.e., God became man. Belief in the unity of three gods (i.e., tri-unity or trinity of: 1. god the father, 2. god the son, 3. god the holy ghost) and ad nauseam. Let's give an example: Suppose the whole of the Qur'ân was just a single chapter consisting of 3-4 verses e.g., Surah Ikhlas 104, which when interpreted means: Say or Proclaim: Allah is Ahad (one, unique, only). Allah is as-Samad (Absolute, independent, eternal, on whom every one and every thing depends). He is not begotten, and does not beget And there is none co-equal unto him (in whatever manner). Would the missionary Christians accept this? If not, then it's not the concept of borrowing which is the problem in accepting the Qur'ân but it is what the Qur'ân has to say. To make it clear: Not the alleged source(s) of the Qur'ân but the contents of it. Important Questions To Ask Furthermore, the assertion of Judeo-Christian borrowing raises a number of questions. Jamal Badawi puts forward the following six questions: 1. Why is it in spite of the abundance of historical material on Muh ammad (P) 's life, and in spite of the extensive research on his life for centuries by his severe critics, that it was not possible to discover the mysterious teacher(s) through whom Muh ammad(P) might have learned all that? 2. It is known that Muh ammad(P) was opposed, ridiculed and persecuted for nearly 13 years by his own contemporaries. With this magnitude of severe enemies, was it not possible for them to prove to the masses that Muh ammad(P) 's claim of revelation was sheer fabrication? Was it not possible for them to reveal and name the person whom they alleged to be the human source or sources of his teachings? Even some of his adversaries who had made this assertion, changed their minds later on and accused him, instead, of magic or being possessed by evil... etc. 3. Muh ammad(P) was raised among his people and every aspect of his life was exposed to them, especially by the openness that characterises tribal life in the desert. How could the multitudes of his contemporaries, including many of his close relatives who knew him so well, how could they believe in his truthfulness if they had any doubt that he was claiming credit for ideas taught to him by some other teachers without bothering to give them credit ? 4. What kind of teacher might have taught Muh ammad(P) a coherent and complete religion that changed the face of history? Why didn't he or they (if any) speak against the alleged student who continued learning from them, while ignoring them and claiming some other divine source for his teachings? 5. How could many Jews and Christians amongst his contemporaries become Muslims and believe in his truthfulness if they knew he was copying from their scriptures or learning from their priests or rabbis? 6. It is known that some of the Qur'ânic revelations to Muhammad(P) in the presence of people. The Qur'ân was revealed over the span of 23 years, where then that was mysterious, perhaps invisible teacher of Muh ammad(P) ? How could he have hidden himself for so long? Or how could Muhammad(P) who was constantly surrounded by companions, how was he able to make frequent secret visits to that mysterious teacher or teachers for 23 years without even being caught once? The answer to all these questions are never given. But the Christian missionaries' logic is that still Muhammad (P) borrowed from the Judeo-Christian sources even though there is no evidence to show. What you do not know, you do not have to show; just say it and it becomes so. Since the Christian missionaries are confused about

their own ideology let us now refute some of the 'contents' which they think are borrowed by the Qur'ân. Index Of The Arguments The Orientalists, The Bible & The Qur'ân: A Brief Review Of Bible Borrowing Theories Comments On Geiger & Tisdall's Books On The 'Sources' Of The Qur'ân Is The Bible Really The Source Of The Qur'ân? The Defense Of The Qur'ân Against The Bible Borrowing Theory The Prophet's Wives Teaching The Bible? Did Waraqa Ibn Nawfal Teach The Prophet? What About Salam - The Persian? Well, Did Not Muhammad Copy Some Verses Of The Qur'ân From Imru'l Qais? But What About The Story Of Cain & Abel In The Qur'ân? To Moo Or Not To Moo, That Is The Question! Arent Wensnick & Jewish Sources Of Qur'ân 18:65-82 Is The Qur'ân's Story Of Solomon & Sheba From The Jewish Targum? On The Judeo-Christian Sources Of al- Khidr & Dhul-Qarnayn The Ten Wise Jews: Source Of The Qur'ân? Haman, The Qur'ân & The Bible Problem Of The Parallels Conclusions Sufficient care has been taken to prepare the documents. Please let us know if there are any mistakes in quoting the references. Conclusions It could be mentioned in conclusion that even if Muh ammad's (P) mission had started in the middle of Christians and Jews, this wouldn't matter. Secular scholars accuse Moses (P) and the Hebrews of borrowing from the religions and myths of neighboring cultures. However, anyone who has sincerely studied the life of the Prophet Muh ammad(P) should be able to see that his sincerity negates conscious borrowing or fabrication. Karen Armstrong in her book A History Of God writes about the revelation to the Prophet Muh ammad(P) by God: In about the year 610 an Arab merchant of the thriving city of Mecca in Hijaz, who had never read the Bible and probably never heard of Isaiah, Jeremiah and Ezekiel, had an experience that was uncannily similar to theirs. [1] Roger DuPasquier, a Swiss journalist and author, asserts that: To this day no-one has put forward a defensible explanation of how an unlettered caravan merchant of the early seventh century might have been able, by his own devices, to produce a text of such inimitable beauty, of such capacity to stir emotion, and which contained knowledge and wisdom which stood so far above the ideas current among mankind at that time. The studies carried out in the West which try to determine the 'sources used by Muhammad', or to bring to light the psychological phenomenon which enabled him to draw the inspiration from his 'subconscious', have demonstrated only one thing: the anti-Muslim prejudice of their authors. [2]

RESPONSE: Let us quote the King James Version of this particular passage, which is far superior to many other Bible versions. According to Jimmy Swaggart, the KJV is the 'most reliable' version based on the Majority Greek text pre-dating the English Standard Version that comes from the late Alexandrian text. Why is Shamoun using the English Standard Version? At least 95% of the Greek manuscripts of the New Testament are Byzantine! I use the NIV for the sake of clarity, but Shamoun is apparently using the Westcott and Hort rendition. The Pharisees therefore said unto him, Thou bearest record of thyself; thy record is not true. Jesus answered and said unto them, Though I bear record of myself, yet my record is true: for I know whence I came, and whither I go; but ye cannot tell whence I come, and whither I go. Ye judge after the flesh; I judge no man. And yet if I judge, my judgment is true: for I am not alone, but I and the Father that sent me. It is also written in your law, that the testimony of two men is true. I am one that bear witness of myself, and the Father that sent me beareth witness of me. Then said they unto him, Where is thy Father? Jesus answered, Ye neither know me, nor my Father: if ye had known me, ye should have known my Father also. These words spake Jesus in the treasury, as he taught in the temple:

and no man laid hands on him; for his hour was not yet come. Then said Jesus again unto them, I go my way, and ye shall seek me, and shall die in your sins: whither I go, ye cannot come. (John 8:13-20) The correct translation from the Greek is "record" (Byzantine) and not "testimony" which is Alexandrian. Now, if Jesus' record is true, why did he misquote the Old Testament? Why did he tell false prophecies?

(1) Jesus broke his promise about the Second Coming: As Jesus was sitting on the Mount of Olives, the disciples came to him privately. "Tell us," they said, "when will this happen, and what will be the sign of your coming and of the end of the age?" Jesus answered: "Watch out that no one deceives you. For many will come in my name, claiming, 'I am the Christ, and will deceive many. You will hear of wars and rumors of wars, but see to it that you are not alarmed. Such things must happen, but the end is still to come. Nation will rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom. There will be famines and earthquakes in various places. "At that time the sign of the Son of Man will appear in the sky, and all the nations of the earth will mourn. They will see the Son of Man coming on the clouds of the sky, with power and great glory. And he will send his angels with a loud trumpet call, and they will gather his elect from the four winds, from one end of the heavens to the other. "Now learn this lesson from the fig tree: As soon as its twigs get tender and its leaves come out, you know that summer is near. Even so, when you see all these things, you know that it is near, right at the door. I tell you the truth, this generation will certainly not pass away until all these things have happened. (Matthew 24: 4-8, 20-35) Verily I say unto you, There be some standing here, which shall not taste of death, till they see the Son of man coming in his kingdom. (Matthew 16:28) Verily I say unto you, All these things shall come upon this generation. (Matthew 23:36, Mark 13:30 Luke 21:31) Jesus did not return during the generation of his people, and so the prophecy failed. Many early Christian sects were disappointed, the Gospels record Jesus saying he would 'return' very shortly (Matthew 16:28, Mark 9:1, Luke 9:27, Revelations 22:7, 12, 20) which sadly never happened. At first, the Christian community expected an imminent return of Christ. We are told that during the first century AD, the Christian community looked forward to the imminent return of Christ in glory and the establishment of the Kingdom. This hope carried on in the second century. When the second coming failed to occur, the church organized itself as a permanent institution under the leadership of its bishops. (What did Jesus Really Say? Misha'al Ibn Abdullah Al-Kadhi) Second Thessalonians was forged in Paul's name shortly after his death or during the late stages of his imprisonment in Rome. Scholars believe it was written to offset the disappointment and unrest then rising in the Christian community resulting from the unfulfilled promise of an imminent second coming (2 Thes. 2:1-8). (Eddy, Patricia G., Who Tampered With the Bible? , p. 184) (2) Jesus misquoted the Old Testament: According to Matthew 13:35, Jesus misquotes the Psalms That it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophet, saying, I will open my mouth in parables; I will utter things which have been kept secret from the foundation of the world. However, Psalm 78:2-3 is completely different. "I will open my mouth in a parable: I will utter dark [ancient] sayings of old: Which we have heard and known, and our fathers have told us." The contradiction does exist, or was Jesus telling a lie? The Gospels mention the story of how David ate bread "in the days of Abiathar" And he said unto them, Have ye never read what David did, when he had need, and was an hungred, he, and they that were with him? How he went into the house of God in the days of Abiathar the high priest, and did eat the shewbread, which is not lawful to eat but for the priests, and gave also to them which were with him? (Mark 2:25-26) The passage is misquoting 1 Samuels 21:1-8, it never says David "ate the bread", and it was during the time of Ahimleach and not Abiathar! According to Matthew 12:5, Jesus refers to the

story of how priests "profaned the Sabbath". Or have ye not read in the law, how that on the sabbath days the priests in the temple profane the sabbath, and are blameless? (12:5) There is no story of priests "profaning the Sabbath" in the Old Testament. Jesus used this mythical story as an excuse for breaking the Sabbath. (3) Jesus Quotes Unknown Scripture! Whoever believes in me, as the Scripture has said, streams of living water will flow from within him." (John 7:38) * There is no verse in the Old Testament about "streams will flow from within him". Because of this, God in his wisdom said, 'I will send them prophets and apostles, some of whom they will kill and others they will persecute.' (Luke 11:49) * God never said this; the Old Testament does not record this saying. That he was buried, that he was raised on the third day according to the Scriptures, (1 Corinthians 15:4) * There is no such thing as a "third day" prophecy in the Old Testament <http://www.infidels.org/library/magazines/tsr/1996/2/2third96.html> Jesus claimed another fulfillment of nonprophecy in Luke 24:46. Speaking to his disciples on the night of his alleged resurrection, he said, "Thus it is written and thus it was necessary for the Christ to suffer and to rise from the dead the third day." That the resurrection of Christ on the third day was prophesied in the scriptures was claimed also by the Apostle Paul in 1 Corinthians 15:3-4: "For I delivered to you first of all that which I also received: that Christ died for our sins according to the Scriptures, and that He was buried, and that He rose again the third day according to the scriptures ." In two different places, then, New Testament writers claimed that the resurrection of the Messiah on the third day had been predicted in the scriptures. Try as they may, however, bibliolaters cannot produce an Old Testament passage that made this alleged third-day prediction. It simply doesn't exist.

http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/farrell_till/prophecy.html (4) Jesus Told False Prophecies! (1) In Matthew 26:34 and Luke 22:34, it is prophesied that before the cock crows, Peter will deny Jesus three times, but according to Mark 14:66-68, Peter denied Jesus only once before the cock crowed. Jesus answered, "I tell you, Peter, before the rooster crows today, you will deny three times that you know me." (Luke 22:34) Let us quote Mark: While Peter was below in the courtyard, one of the servant girls of the high priest came by. When she saw Peter warming himself, she looked closely at him. "You also were with that Nazarene, Jesus," she said. 68 But he denied it. "I don't know or understand what you're talking about," he said, and went out into the entryway. When the servant girl saw him there, she said again to those standing around, "This fellow is one of them." Again he denied it. After a little while, those standing near said to Peter, "Surely you are one of them, for you are a Galilean." He began to call down curses on himself, and he swore to them, "I don't know this man you're talking about." 72 Immediately the rooster crowed the second time. Then Peter remembered the word Jesus had spoken to him: "Before the rooster crows twice you will disown me three times." And he broke down and wept. (Mark 14:66-68) The footnote regarding Mark 14:68 says: Some early manuscripts entryway and the rooster crowed The prophecy of Jesus failed, because the rooster crowed after Peter denied Jesus once. The footnote regarding Mark 14:72 says: Some early manuscripts do not have the second time. The footnote regarding Mark 14:72 says: Some early manuscripts do not have twice. Apparently, the scribes added words to forge a "fulfillment" of this prophecy, because Peter denied Jesus only once before the cock crowed. (2) Jesus told false prophecies in the Gospel of John: And this is the will of him who sent me, that I shall lose none of all that he has given me, but raise them up at the last day. (John 6:39) Contradicted by: While I was with them in the world, I kept them in thy name: those that thou gavest me I have kept, and none of them is lost, but the son of perdition; that the scripture might be fulfilled. (John 17:12) Obviously, the scripture was not fulfilled, the prophecy of John 6:39 failed. Please visit:

(http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/theodore_drang/bible.html) The Pharisee passages in the Gospels were later interpolations to the text. The passage John 8:13-20 is clearly not historical. And there's alarming evidence that Jesus himself was a Pharisee belonging to the sect, as the Talmudic scholar Hyam Maccoby demonstrates in his book *The Myth-Maker: Paul and the Invention of Christianity*: Jesus and his immediate followers were Pharisees. Jesus had no intention of founding a new religion. He regarded himself as the Messiah in the normal Jewish sense of the term, i.e. a human leader who would restore the Jewish monarchy, drive out the Roman invaders, set up an independent Jewish state, and inaugurate an era of peace, justice and prosperity (known as 'the kingdom of God,') for the whole world. Jesus believed himself to be the figure prophesied in the Hebrew Bible who would do all these things. He was not a militarist and did not build up an army to fight the Romans, since he believed that God would perform a great miracle to break the power of Rome. This miracle would take place on the Mount of Olives, as prophesied in the book of Zechariah. When this miracle did not occur, his mission had failed. He had no intention of being crucified in order to save mankind from eternal damnation by his sacrifice. He never regarded himself as a divine being, and would have regarded such an idea as pagan and idolatrous, an infringement of the first of the Ten Commandments. (The Problem of Paul) Many parables of Jesus were interpolated: In addition, the theme of future judgment is inherent in many of Jesus' most significant parables; see, for example, the adversary (Matt. 5:25-26; Luke 12:57-59), the two houses (Matt. 7:24-27; Luke 6:47-49), the seed growing secretly (Mark 4:26-29), the laborers in the vineyard (Matt. 20:1-16), the friend at midnight (Luke 11:5-8), the rich fool (Luke 12:16-21), the rich man and Lazarus (Luke 16:19-31), and the importunate widow (Luke 18:1-6). While it may be the case that all or part of some of these parables were created in the light of conditions in the early church—and such judgments can never achieve certainty—methodologically, it cannot be too strongly emphasized that such 'inauthentic' materials often represent some degree of continuity with the teaching and situation of Jesus. (<http://www.bibletexts.com/glossary/Jesus.htm>) The scholar Hyam Maccoby proves that the Pharisee passages were later interpolations by the Church. Shamoun apparently doesn't know that Jesus contradicted himself about whether the Jews knew where he came from: (1) "So the Pharisees said to him, 'You are bearing witness about yourself; your testimony is not true.' Jesus answered, 'Even if I do bear witness about myself, my testimony is true, for I know where I came from and where I am going, but you do not know where I come from or where I am going.' (John 8:14) Contradicted by: But we know where this man is from; when the Christ comes, no one will know where he is from." Then Jesus, still teaching in the temple courts, cried out, "Yes, you know me, and you know where I am from. I am not here on my own, but he who sent me is true. You do not know him, but I know him because I am from him and he sent me." (John 7:27-29) I have quoted the full context of this passage as provided, Jesus was teaching in the Temple grounds and told the Jews "Yes, you know me, and you know where I am from" which contradicts what he said in John 8:14 quite blatantly. Compare the two sayings together without the context, and it will read: "But you do not know where I come from or where I am going". (John 8:14) "Yes, you know me, and you know where I am from". (John 7:27) In both of these passages, Jesus was speaking to the Jews. (2) Then Jesus, still teaching in the temple courts, cried out, "Yes, you know me, (John 7:28) Contradicted by: "You do not know me or my Father," Jesus replied. (John 8:20) (3) Jesus was sinful? Can any of you prove me guilty of sin? If I am telling the truth, why don't you believe me? (John 8:46) Contradicted by: John did baptize in the wilderness, and preach the baptism of repentance for the remission of sins. (Mark 1:14) Then cometh Jesus from Galilee

to Jordan unto John, to be baptized of him. (Matthew 3:13) According to the Bible, Jesus was a repentant sinner! (4) And when he is come, he will reprove the world of sin, and of righteousness, and of judgment: (John 16:8) Contradicted by: [Even] the Spirit of truth; whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth him not, neither knoweth him: but ye know him; for he dwelleth with you, and shall be in you. (John 14:17) (5) But if I cast out devils by the Spirit of God, then the kingdom of God is come unto you. (Matthew 12:28) Contradicted by: But if I with the finger of God cast out devils, no doubt the kingdom of God is come upon you. (Luke 11:20) During his hearing before the high priest, Jesus says, "I spoke openly to the world. I always taught in synagogues and in the temple, where the Jews always meet, and in secret I have said nothing" (John 18:20 (NKJ)). But the New Testament shows that this is untrue. Jesus did not always teach in synagogues and in the temple. He taught on a mountain (Matthew 5:1-2), on a boat (Matthew 13:1-35), on a plain (Luke 6:17-49), and in houses too (Luke 5:17-19). So Jesus lied about where he had been teaching. <http://www.theism.net/article/7> Concerning the passage John 8:13-19, Shamoun states the following: In this passage Jesus is speaking in reference to himself, that as far as he is concerned his testimony is valid on the basis of his awareness of who is and where he came from. In other words, Christ is stating that EVEN IF he were to testify about himself this would be valid because of who he is, the Son of God.

these issues have been answered a long time

Michael Swenson

If you had bothered to read my note before regurgitating your brainwashing, you would have seen the quran is absolutely NOT Divinely Inspired, the evidence in that regard is so overwhelming only people who have been thoroughly brainwashed with the evil that is islam believe otherwise.

the "answers" muslims cling to are so obviously in error to those of us who KNOW GOD and communicate directly with Him as to be nonsense of the highest order. All I can say to all muslims is what you presently hold as knowledge and truth is absolute rubbish! nothing but satanic deception to keep you from the Truth, who is YAHOSHUAH! So in the Holy and Omnipotent Name, I command the spirit of anti-christ to depart from you and it is time such spirit departed from this world entirely, I command such foul and unclean spirits to the LORD for final sentencing and to be bound in the lake of fire forever. I call for the spirit of repentance to come upon you and every man, woman and child on the planet, such that this world can be filled with the Glory of GOD. I pray also you will choose to repent and call upon the One True <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god/719346771477618> so that He may fill you with His Holy Spirit to your present and everlasting joy. Amen. The time is short, God commands all souls to repent of ignorance and wickedness <http://biblehub.com/acts/17-30.htm>. So choose wisely for not just your present existence, but your everlasting destiny is at stake. <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god-made-salvation-easy/737032859709009>

http://www.answering-islam.org/authors/shakespeare/infallible_borrowed.html the quran is not only filled with wickedness, evil, direct commands that violate the Holy Commands of GOD, the only times it ever says anything truthful or redeeming is when it quotes earlier source material found in the Holy Bible. I know this is difficult for brainwashed muslims to grasp but muhammad and islam came along millenniums after Judaism (Tanakh Old Covenant) and centuries after Christianity (Old and New Covenant) as such all writings are to be judged by the Foundation God already laid during that time: http://biblehub.com/1_corinthians/3-10.htm and http://biblehub.com/1_corinthians/3-13.htm the Fire of Truth upon examining the quran leaves nothing but ash. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=htshvld51UE>

Anonymous muslim: Rubbish is what you claim and all the claims are not true. I quoted clear verses from your scripture to show you the contradictions but all you posted are verses from the Quran which you quoted out of context to achieve your evil plan

Michael Swenson

Again, sorry clear logic eludes you. The Holy Bible has existed in part for well over the past 3 millenniums. with over 25000 manuscripts to verify veracity of content. the quran came into existence centuries AFTER the compilation of the Holy Bible. in the 6th and 7th century AD BUT the earliest manuscript was burned. http://www.harvardhouse.com/quran_purity.htm the versions that now exist have no way of verifying veracity and even if they did, the quran texts prove it to be anti-christian and satanic. If you are judging the Bible by the quran, then you are in fundamental error in that the Holy Bible existed LONG BEFORE the quran and states ALL books thereafter are to be judged by what GOD has caused to be written from the Beginning. WHEN you examine the quran against the plain truth in the HOLY BIBLE (no contradictions but in the deluded minds of deceived muslims - <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/holy-bible-versus-the-quran/719366671475628> and <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/refutations-of-the-false-accusations-made-by-worldviews-against-christ-and-his-f/735623916516570> then it is very plain to see that everything a muslim believes especially in reverencing the most wicked quran and hadiths is so perverted, they are not able to discern ANYTHING correctly. so if you CAN GRASP that EVERYTHING PRESENTLY IN YOUR MIND IS NOTHING BUT SATANIC DECEPTION, then hear and understand these simple words, CALL ON YAHOSHUAH, HE IS ALIVE! He will answer you personally, and then you will KNOW TRUTH not from interpretations or clever persons, but from GOD directly. I hope you will call upon the Living GOD so you can KNOW Him and then teach others to do the same.

Anonymous muslim: I will answer all your lies

0) My response: Sam Shamoun had raise two main points above: 1- Slave women as war booties. 2- Coveting the neighbor's wife and another man's wife. Point #1: (Slave women as war booties) The refutation to his points above is quite simple. Have he read his Bible, he would've seen that in Deuteronomy 20:13-20 below, women were taken as war booties in the Bible long before Islam. What's different between Islam and the Bible is that in Islam, slaves can request and get their freedom from their Muslim masters, and one of Islam's Ultimate Goals was to eliminate slavery. On the other hand, the Bible forces slaves and all the generations that come after them to be inherited by their masters forever and ever. The reason for slavery and why it took place: It is important to know that thousands of years ago life was different than today. Today, people wouldn't accept slavery for any reason. The reason for this is because people are a lot more independent both financially, education wise, mentally, etc... But people back then were different. When a tribe or a group of people lose a major battle and their money is mostly, if not all, is taken as war booty by the other side, then people could and would accept being slaves for the following reasons: 1- Both financial and social security. When their country or tribe lost the war, they also lost most or all of their money as war booty. Being out of money and food, it becomes necessary for an individual to find the means for basic survival in life. Living as a slave would provide this. 2- Protection from hostile individuals. Even under the Islamic rule, you can still find hostile individuals who violate the Law and take matters into their own hands. An enemy family can be sometime in danger if they don't have a "protector". 3- Widows, Orphans, and the extremely poor of the enemy side need the financial and social protection from a Master. Back then, there were no governments with good social system that protects everyone. Slavery back then was that social system in special cases. There are probably more points I can add, but I think these are sufficient enough. Simple proofs: Islam offered this security to slaves, and enabled the slaves to get their freedom from their Muslim Masters. Not only that, but the Master was obligated to pay the slave money. ".....And if any of your slaves ask for a deed in writing (to enable them to earn their freedom for a certain sum), give them such a deed if ye know any good in them: yea, give them something yourselves out of the means which God has given to you....." (The Noble Quran, 24:33) The Muslim Master was also obligated to dress his slave from the same cloths he wears, and to feed him from the same food he eats. "..... Your slaves are your brothers and Allah has put them under your command. So whoever has a brother under his command should feed him of what he eats and dress him of what he wears. Do not ask them (slaves) to do things beyond their capacity (power) and if you do so, then help them.' (Translation of Sahih Bukhari, Belief, Volume 1, Book 2, Number 29) " Show me where does this exist in the Bible!

Anonymous muslims continues (obviously not reading any of my cited references): WHY did GOD Almighty allow this?? 2- Lot and the offering of his two daughters as prostitutes! Leviticus 19:29 29 " 'Do not degrade your daughter by making her a prostitute, or the land will turn to prostitution and be filled with wickedness. Leviticus 21:9 9 " 'If a priest's daughter defiles herself by becoming a prostitute, she disgraces her father; she must be burned in the fire. Now to Lot's offering: Genesis 19 1 The two angels arrived at Sodom in the evening, and Lot was sitting in the gateway of the city. When he saw them, he got up to meet them and bowed down with his face to the ground. 2 "My lords," he said, "please turn aside to your servant's house. You can wash your feet and spend the night and then go on your way

early in the morning." "No," they answered, "we will spend the night in the square." 3 But he insisted so strongly that they did go with him and entered his house. He prepared a meal for them, baking bread without yeast, and they ate. 4 Before they had gone to bed, all the men from every part of the city of Sodom—both young and old—surrounded the house. 5 They called to Lot, "Where are the men who came to you tonight? Bring them out to us so that we can have sex with them." 6 Lot went outside to meet them and shut the door behind him 7 and said, "No, my friends. Don't do this wicked thing. 8 Look, I have two daughters who have never slept with a man. Let me bring them out to you, and you can do what you like with them. But don't do anything to these men, for they have come under the protection of my roof." In these verses, we see clearly see the following points: 1- The Bible prohibits any man from making his daughter(s) as prostitute(s). 2- Lot did offer his daughters as prostitutes. Wasn't Lot in clear violation to the Bible's moral code regarding daughters and prostitution? |

Michael Swenson

you are avoiding the heart of the matter. i think it is because muslims read and perceive things through the recitations ingrained into them. The HOLY BIBLE records history and truth just stating facts that these things happened. So when sodom had become so obscenely wicked that they wanted to rape angels, Lot acted out of desperation. The angels corrected the matter and said the people had become SO wicked they must all be destroyed and so order Lot to leave. <http://www.pinkoski.com/sodom-a-gomorra.html> Lot and his family having lived among such perversity and wickedness, were adversely affected by such corruption and that is what the Holy Bible is warning against in that account; for even Lot's wife died for even looking back to such evil. Also Lot's daughters committed wickedness and lewdness in getting Lot drunk and committing incest. All these things were written to warn future generations not to allow such sexual immorality to pervade their thoughts and culture. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ms9NrdiJHRA> or they can become so depraved as to start having sex with animals, dead people, homosexuality and worse, raping innocent children (all these things exist in satanic islam) islam is following the path toward total annihilation in such depravity. the sexual perversion in the quran and hadith is at a level that brought about the incineration of sodom and gomorrah which is one of the many reasons Christians demand no intrusion of wicked islam in their midst.

Anonymous muslim continues; as usual and typical of muslims, completely ignoring comments from others; especially anything that exposes islam for the evil that it is: The Books of Paul: Before we start on the analysis of Paul's Books, we must first know that Paul who's Books are believed to be inspired by GOD Almighty Himself and who is a disciple of Jesus peace be upon him never actually met Jesus in person (Acts 9:2-4 . Note: Paul's name used to be Saul. Yet, Paul admittedly wasn't even sure whether the Holy Spirit was inspiring him or not). Jesus had 12 disciples when he was on earth. Paul was not one of them!. Paul much later after the disappearance of Jesus came and claimed that Jesus came to him and ordered him to be his disciple. Paul is really taken on faith only and not on proofs. He could be

well be another smart deceiver who made his way into the world of the current corrupted Bible (sorry if I offended you). Exposing Paul's Lies The Noble Quran on Paul and his likes: " Then woe to those who write the Book with their own hands, and then say:"This is from God," to traffic with it for miserable price!- Woe to them for what their hands do write, and for the gain they make thereby. (The Noble Quran, 2:79) " " Who can be more wicked than one who inventeth a lie against God, or saith, "I have received inspiration," when he hath received none, or (again) who saith, "I can reveal the like of what God hath revealed"? If thou couldst but see how the wicked (do fare) in the flood of confusion at death! - the angels stretch forth their hands, (saying), "Yield up your souls: this day shall ye receive your reward,- a penalty of shame, for that ye used to tell lies against God, and scornfully to reject of His signs!" (The Noble Quran, 6:93) " GOD's stupidity is smarter than all of us?! Paul's Delusions: In 1 Corinthians 7:40, he claimed that he "thinks" that he has the Holy Spirit in him! The New Testament calls for the death of all Jews who reject Jesus as Christ. Jesus also abrogated "love" in Matthew 5 with "hate" in Matthew 7,15. Simple yet devastating proof about Paul was NEVER inspired by the Holy Spirit! - Contradiction in divorce! Paul literally allowed lying! The end literally justifies the means to him! How can you say that the Bible has corruption in it when Saint Paul in 2 Timothy 3:16 clearly said that "All Scripture is God-breathed"? Paul nullified and contradicted the point of Baptism. Him calling the disbelievers, who are supposed to be doomed to Hell, as "sanctified " and "holy " is clear nonsense and stupidity, and further proves with CLEAR-CUT proofs that his words were not Revelations from GOD Almighty. It also contradicts GOD Almighty's verdict about them in the Old Testament.

Michael Swenson

look in the notes I've cited I've already addressed all this nonsense, but you haven't bothered to read them in favor of the garbage you hold dear. you like the apostle need to count it all as dung so that you can learn from GOD. <http://biblehub.com/philippians/3-8.htm> (as difficult as it may be TRY reading my notes, I have already heard all these ridiculous muslims arguments many times over)

continued on part 2 <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/dialogue-with-a-muslim-part-2/790565081022453>

[Like](#)

Like

Love

Haha

Wow

Sad

Angry

[CommentShare](#)

[1Florie Gohetia](#)

12 comments

[1 share](#)

Comments




[Michael Swenson](https://www.facebook.com/.../a.135071.../393710727457293/...) <https://www.facebook.com/.../a.135071.../393710727457293/...>

[February 6, 2015 at 9:27am](#) · [Like](#)



[Michael Swenson](http://www.facebook.com/l.php...) <http://www.facebook.com/l.php...>



[Bill Warner, PhD: Jihad vs Crusades](#)


Description

YOUTUBE.COM

[February 6, 2015 at 10:13am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=611293722334947](https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=611293722334947) islam's contribution to the world - <https://www.facebook.com/.../islam.../747974835281478>



[18:19](#)

910,251 Views

[الدم مسلحة لـ لقوات الموسكوى لـ لم تحدث الرسمية الـ صـ فحة](#) Like Page

[February 5, 2015](#) ·

لطالما ظلت (مصر) صخرةً تتحطم عليها أحلام الغزاة...
ولقد عاهدنا الله والشعب المصري العظيم أن تظل (مصر) مقبرةً لمن أراد بأهلها سوءاً...
الإرهاب الجبان... لا دين لـ...

[See More](#)

I've always been (Egypt) a rock shattered her dreams invaders...
And we promised God and the people of the great Egyptian Remain (Egypt)... is it worse...
Terrorism coward... Don't owe him... No home for him...
Soon will their multitude...

We are the Egyptians...
Last jnaḍ land...

.

[Rate this translation](#)

February 7, 2015 at 5:04pm · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



Michael Swenson <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qJS2tVQBhI0> muslims have the audacity to condemn decent humanity while practicing the most wicked and perverse worldview on earth and perhaps in the history of the world! <https://www.facebook.com/.../islam.../747974835281478>



[Mass Hamas Wedding in Gaza With Girls 6-9 Years Old! Obama sent Hamas 400million!](#)

YOUTUBE.COM

February 8, 2015 at 1:49pm · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



Michael

Swenson <https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=721861634625767&set=vb.300455573433044&type=2&theater>



[03:47](#)

331,376 Views

[Britain First](#)

[February 11, 2015](#) ·

VIDEO: Winston Churchill's words on Islam
(Third party video)

[February 11, 2015 at 7:31am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant...> by the time a muslim reaches adulthood they will have recited the same words over many thousands of times. that is a brainwashing technique. <https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant...> in addition muslims are under pain of death for apostasy. that is not only coercive brainwashing<https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant...>; but fundamentally evil and the exact opposite of "free thinking". <https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant...> islam is provably satanic and engages in the very definition of occultic brainwashing and yet muslims THINK they have some truth to share with the world. <https://www.facebook.com/.../holy-bible.../719366671475628>



[Google](#)

Search the world's information, including webpages, images, videos and more. Google has many special features to help you find exactly what...

GOOGLE.COM

[February 11, 2015 at 9:08pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=713754228769841> BAN islam
WORLDWIDE!<https://www.facebook.com/.../islam.../747974835281478>



[04:54](#)

146,310 Views

[Britain First](#)

[February 2, 2015](#) ·

VIDEO: ISLAMIC JIHAD - WORLD WAR III
(Third party video)

[February 12, 2015 at 1:43pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=10155265979320393>



[ISIS Terrorists Crucifying, Burying Iraqi Children Alive](#)

[00:35](#)

229,354 Views

[CBN News](#)

[February 8, 2015](#) ·

Islamic State terrorists are torturing and burying children alive in Iraq, according to a report by the United Nations. <http://my.cbn.com/go/18412>

The U.N. says ISIS is systematically killing, torturing and raping children and families from the Yazidi sect and Christian communities.

There are also reports of children being beheaded, crucified, and buried alive.

"They (ISIS) say, 'If anyone don't become like Muslim, we kill them, each one, from baby to woman to old man,'" a middle-aged woman told CBN News' Chris Mitchell.

CBN Middle East Bureau Chief Chris Mitchell addresses these reports and more in his new book, Destination Jerusalem -- [Chris Mitchell CBN News Jerusalem](#)

[February 12, 2015 at 2:20pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2-L1KIU7lao>



[Ex-Hezbollah Iranian Muslim Saw Jesus and Jesus Said Who He Was - Afshin Javid](#)

YOUTUBE.COM

[February 14, 2015 at 10:25am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10205910037213242...>

[February 17, 2015 at 8:30pm](#) · [Like](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <http://www.frontpagemag.com/.../organizer-of-muslim.../>

[Organizer of Muslim Synagogue “Peace Ring” Gave “Why I Hate Jews” Speech | FrontPage Magazine](#)

FRONTPAGEMAG.COM

[February 23, 2015 at 4:02pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://youtu.be/FemIJS6x5q4?t=6686>



[Jay Smith on the Historical beginnings of Islam](#)

YOUTUBE.COM

[April 20, 2015 at 7:54am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)