

The Modern Evolutionary Paradigm is NOT SCIENCE, it isn't even good Science Fiction part 2 of 5

[November 22, 2014 at 10:05am](#)

continued from <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-not-science-it-isnt-even-good-science-fictio/747467775332184>

But to continue on. We have seen that what people are being taught to think of as science is not even OBSERVED, let alone testable and repeatable! And so we come to the NEXT HUGE IMAGINATION taught in the name of evolutionary constructs as their worldview for the "scientific" explanation of what we actually can observe. That fictional construct DEFIES what we observe by claiming life came into existence all on its own from inorganic, non-living chemicals. **KEEP IN MIND, BY INTENTIONALLY OMITTING EVEN THE MENTION OF GOD, OUR ETERNAL CREATOR, WHAT THEY ARE TEACHING TO MASS MILLIONS OF INNOCENT CHILDREN; in the NAME of SCIENCE, is that**

1) something came from nothing -

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=scientists+actually+believe+the+universe+came+from+nothing

2) the something that came from nothing exploded, expanded, and became time, space, energy, elements -

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=big%20bang%20today%20teaching%20how%20nothing%20that%20exploded%20became%20everything

3) the something/energy that came from nothing and that exploded/expanded to form space time; formed not only all the various forms of energy in the universe but all the various physical elements, atomic and molecular structure, that subsequently then formed all the visible matter in our universe and all the various motions of spinning stars, planets, galaxies! (the something that came from nothing became EVERYTHING; that sure is an INCREDIBLE "nothing") but THEN we come to one of the hugest leaps of faith that these people want others to believe is science

4) LIFE sprang into existence from the nothing that exploded to become everything; all on their own chemicals came together with everything that first life form needed to energize itself (live) and

reproduce. I CANNOT BELIEVE ANYONE WOULD CLAIM THIS RUBBISH IS SCIENCE, but they have the audacity to laugh at anyone who questions these clearly imaginary, insupportable constructs! http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Miller%E2%80%93Urey_experiment scientists are well aware of the molecular structures (chemicals) that living organisms utilize. So to take those elements and put them in flasks, and apply what we observe heat and electrical energy will predictively yield those desired molecular structures, STILL CHEMICALS! NOT LIFE; not life by a LONG shot! Do people really think chemicals, mostly toxic chemicals is LIFE? ; so NOT scientific and TOTALLY DECEPTIVE to claim "LIFE was created..." in that experiment! so CONTRARY TO WISTFUL acolytes of this most ludicrous worldview, this experiment came NO WHERE CLOSE to creating even the most basic life form observed today! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aHk_93Wn-ZU

5) so far **ACTUAL science PROVES the ENTIRE evolutionary constructs taught today are FALSE, FICTION, PURE FANTASY, IMPOSSIBLE!** NONE of the rubbish taught today regarding the reasons for the existence of the universe and thereby our own existence is scientific! It is absolute twaddle of the highest order! But then they go on to brainwash innocent children by the mass millions claiming not only all of that in the name of science; but that those chemicals that assembled themselves DESPITE EVERYTHING WE OBSERVE that contradicts those claims, that came from the magical nothing; that exploded to become everything, THEN magically transformed into ALL the BILLIONS OF SPECIES THAT HAVE EVER EXISTED ON EARTH to this day; including our multi-trillion celled selves!!!!!!! They ACTUALLY believe that abiogenesis occurred, and they actually believe that everything came from nothing! They then furthermore ACTUALLY THINK that first life form magically became all the rest of life forms on earth seen throughout history! <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gjvuwne0RrE&list=UUul300ELNnKXyxFosz1HyJA> Now do you understand why I have written such notes as:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evolution-and-atheism-intertwined-religions-of-the-insane/492209810857983>

<https://www.facebook.com/AnswersInGenesis/videos/10154140738429899/?pnref=story>

FOR THOSE WHO CLAIM THAT THESE THINGS ARE NOT BEING TAUGHT IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, OBSERVE THE TEXTBOOK QUOTATIONS IN THIS PRESENTATION:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3HoHmSn5Gns>

and now do you understand why I want this nonsense removed from public education and that anyone practicing these religions of evolutionary thought and symbiotic atheism is demonstrating that they have become extremely <http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/mentally-challenged/575010789244551>; due to factual <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886> and therefore should NOT be allowed into teaching positions or media or other spheres of public influence?

Consider the very existence of fossils; not just the fossils of large creatures, but of the small ones and of invertebrates, and even of cellulose fibers. Just the existence of these many fossils soundly disproves what they are teaching in the name of science regarding the fictionally created geologic column.

Look at how fast decomposition of many species takes place:

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=dead+animals+decompose+rapidly

<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1941.tb07026.x/pdf>

<http://www.highveld.com/microbiology/biodegradation.html> - scientists actually study what our Creator has made in order to gather enough knowledge to construct biodegradable materials themselves.

The point of looking at how FAST decomposition takes place is to show that the very existence of fossils DOES NOT SUPPORT IN ANY WAY the deep time claims of the fictional "geologic column"; instead they support RAPID death; by being covered by land and/or water AND SO MUCH LAND or WATER that other living organisms; observed ubiquitously, were not able to decompose the once living creature. (The very existence of mass millions of fossils buried and preserved in the global sedimentary layers CLEARLY SUPPORT the catastrophic Biblical Flood Account! they in NO WAY support (actually the fossils plainly FALSIFY) the nonsense of "millions of years" and the fictionally created geologic column purporting claims of deep time layers of sediments in which those fossils are found). THINK about their claims! REALLY THINK! They pick up a rock and say things like this rock dates to be so many millions of years old, then they pick up another rock and claim that rock is hundreds of millions or even billions of years old, and yet another rock and claim it is only a few thousand years old. THINK ABOUT THOSE

STATEMENTS! IF the elements in the earth all were hot like they teach, molten and then cooled etc. THEN THE MATTER THAT MAKES UP THE EARTH IS ALL THE SAME AGE; with the exception of asteroids space dust that may have fallen to earth at various periods of time. SO THINK ABOUT THEIR CLAIMS THAT RADIOMETRIC DATING METHODS DATE THESE LAYERS TO BE MILLIONS OF YEARS APART! THEY ARE SO OBVIOUSLY LYING, I can't believe they are getting away with it!!!!!!!

The only reason people believe this nonsense is that they were told to believe it day in and day out all during their childhood, and then even the media reinforces the brainwashing with intentionally referring to anyone who would question this rubbish, as if we are the stupid ones! I am NOT trying to be cruel to anyone but THIS EVIL BRAINWASHING MUST STOP!!!!!!!!!! It is incredibly EVIL to do to innocent little kids!!!!!!!!!! fossils inherently disprove deep time assumptions regarding them is that if it actually took that long for dead creatures to fossilize (while the layers of sediment millimeter by millimeter slowly rose up over their rotting corpses to bury them over the supposed "millions of years" it took to form those layers), they would have FAR SOONER completely decomposed; especially soft invertebrates like jellyfish <https://answersingenesis.org/kids/fossils/kids-feedback-how-do-jellyfish-fossilize/> and https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=how+do+jellyfish+become+fossils. Rather the scientific evidence proves fossilization can and does happen quite rapidly! https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=fossilization%20happens%20rapidly and particularly notice "when conditions are right". https://www.google.com/search?q=artifacts+of+modern+petrification&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=artifacts+of+modern+petrification&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8 so then notice that those "right conditions" REQUIRES that the object be COVERED by other liquids or solids; to provide the elements that replace the original organic structure and cause the metamorphosis! (metamorphosis BEFORE decomposition PROVES that fossils DO NOT, ABSOLUTELY DO NOT, take "millions of years" to form; but rather MUST form RAPIDLY! <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yH35OQbV7GY> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d99iLtzZKZ0>) Therefore, the very existence of ALL fossils, FALSIFY what is being taught regarding deep time; slow sedimentary ages of layers in the earth's crust! HUGE (polystrate) fossils and petrified trees that span multiple layers of the fictional "geologic column" WOULD HAVE DECOMPOSED, OXIDIZED (if they were not covered) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3VOum6x91w>, AND OTHERWISE ERODED TO DUST AND MINERALS LONG BEFORE the "millions and millions of years" evolutionists would have you believe are represented by those layers; LONG BEFORE! - https://www.google.com/search?q=petrified+trees+vertical+through+many+layers&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&es_sm=93&biw=1066&bih=702&tbm=isch&tbo=u&source=univ&sa=X&ei=BC5GVL-XL4y2yASj64C4Bg&ved=0CB0QsAQ and https://www.google.com/search?q=Polystrate+fossil&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=Polystrate+fossil&aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8#q=Polystrate%20fossils

Which is more **REASONABLE**, that MIRACULOUSLY ALL THOSE FOSSILS, INDIVIDUALLY DIED, AND SUBSEQUENTLY WAS MYSTERIOUSLY (without rational explanations for all the millions of fossils found to date) BURIED BY LAND AND/OR WATER SO FAST AS TO PREVENT ORGANIC DECOMPOSITION, AND THAT THOSE MIRACULOUS EVENTS OCCURED MILLIONS OF TIMES, OVER MILLIONS OF YEARS, FOR EACH OF THOSE INDIVIDUAL FOSSILS **OR THAT THE SEDIMENTARY LAYERS FOUND WORLDWIDE; IN WHICH ALL THESE DEAD CREATURES, EVEN SOFT INVERTEBRATES, WERE CATASTROPHICALLY COVERED IN A GLOBAL FLOOD WHICH HYDROLOGICALLY SORTED THE SMALLER AND LARGER SEDIMENTS, AND CREATURES ALL AT ONCE?! <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= Qw0jXvD5DQ>** (Keep in mind **RECORDED HISTORY** has the flood account in hundreds to thousands of cultures from all over the world - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Iktmmd7YnD8>; just use a search engine if you want to read up on all those records). My POINT HERE, is that evolutionists and atheists CLAIM their ideologies are scientifically supported AND reasonable and that the Creationists are dumb and ignorant; who blindly believe their religions; exclusively by faith; with no rationale, reason or scientific evidence; when ANYONE CAN PLAINLY SEE the converse is true. The observable EVIDENCE of the earth's crust comprised of the same sedimentary layers globally; in which mass millions of species were suddenly buried supports rapid hydrologic sorting; NOT creatures dying millions and millions of years apart and slowly fossilizing, while sediments are slowly formed all around and over them; despite everything we observe regarding the organic cycle on earth. https://www.google.com/search?q=organic+cycle+on+earth%2C+life%2C+death%2C+decomposition%2C+life&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=organic+cycle+on+earth%2C+life%2C+death%2C+decomposition%2C+life&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jvaNP5xH4HI>

<http://www.creation-science.com/onlinebook/Liquefaction7.html>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hmMlpglyOnY>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= Qw0jXvD5DQ>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yH35OQbV7GY>

<http://www.creationevidence.org/carlbaugh/v2ch1.htm>

https://www.google.com/search?q=carl+baugh+fast+fossils+pickles+and+cowboy+boot&rlz=1C1GIGM-enUS535US535&es_sm=93&biw=1066&bih=702&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ei=8Wk-VZynlc7sAWVI4DwBQ&ved=0CAcQ_AUoAg

<http://regentsprep.org/regents/core/questions/questions.cfm?Course=ESCI&TopicCode=04> the global flood <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Iktmmd7YnD8> more rationally accounts for the geological deposits and fossil layers we observe globally. As such, fine sediments (smaller size creatures would have been trapped in the finer silt deposits; while larger creatures settled above them) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hmMlpglyOnY>

Evolutionists think creationists are uneducated; and that somehow we did not attend the same public indoctrination institutions that they did; but the paradigm of evolutionary constructs has been taught for several decades now (Since the Bible and prayer were forcibly removed from public education by corrupt judges and those that fund public education; which has had a devastating effect on Americans and our nation as a result -<http://www.whatyouknowmightnotbeso.com/graphs.html>).

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-planned-demoralization-of-america/632305113515118>

We went to school together for the most part. (Not to boast here, but I have lost all patience in dealing with arrogant atheists and evolutionists who sneer at Creationists with unjustified slurs and assumptions about our intelligence.) I was the kid in school that never studied because I never needed to, that pointed out errors in the text books, that got top grades without trying, that read the text books in the first few days of each academic year; during many redundant lectures, that completed perfectly the timed entrance exam for military recruitment; something those recruiters had never encountered, that was nominated to both West Point and Annapolis Military Academies, SEALs/EOD entrance qualified, finished a six month self paced course in two weeks with a 98% average; earning a meritorious commendation from the base commander of the Great Lakes Naval Training Center, years of diversified studies in colleges with no needed study through it all and over a 3.9 GPA, ordination and licensing as a minister of the Gospel of Yahoshuah Am Meschiach, aka Jesus the Christ, I read and study virtually every waking moment that I am healthy enough to do so and I can tell you that when I encounter evolutionists and atheists online, they are so thoroughly indoctrinated that; even while arguing in support of one of the many versions of the "big bang" theory, they will attempt to insult me with logic fallacies simply for rephrasing what they claim to believe; that the universe has an origin therefore (time, energy and matter is expanding in space from that origin -

<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=451991028213195&set=a.115635768515391.22520.100002069048072&type=3&theater>; just read some of the comments against me for only stating what I saw about how the matter in the universe came to be: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ri3R6vFVfEg&feature>- if you read the comments below the video you will see that in the dream in which I saw the possible beginning of the new heavens and earth, that because it so aptly fits what we observe in our universe, I concluded that it was also how He formed the present heavens and earth; but that might not be so. <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-space-time-continuum/833112730101021> HOWEVER, the Book of Enoch seems to confirm what I saw. <http://www.sacred-texts.com/bib/boe/>); as if they don't actually realize even what they've been taught, but can only seem to repeat or regurgitate word for word what they have memorized! (so many versions of the "Big Bang" theory it's not surprising that none of them understand the suppositions and connotations of what they champion as scientific knowledge - <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/so-you-think-the-big-bang-is-scientific/620654451346851>)

What "evolutionists" do is they look at bones they dig up and find a smaller hominid test its age, look at skull shape, etc. and then put a fancy latin name on it and CLAIM it is a transitional form; when you can find LIVING examples on planet earth of all their so called varied speciations along their atavistic imaginations of fictional evolution:

https://www.google.com/search?q=living+pygmy+tribes&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&espv=2&biw=1066&bih=702&tbm=isch&tbo=u&source=univ&sa=X&ei=fwKKVMv8DsSdygSuu4LwDw&ved=0CB0QsAQ&dpr=1.5

https://www.google.com/search?q=samoan+giants&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&espv=2&biw=1066&bih=702&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ei=dQOKVJXNMIS9yQTzioCwCA&ved=0CAcQ_AUoAg

https://www.google.com/search?q=samoan+giants&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&espv=2&biw=1066&bih=702&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ei=dQOKVJXNMIS9yQTzioCwCA&ved=0CAcQ_AUoAg#tbm=isch&q=living+giants

https://www.google.com/search?q=living+dwarfs&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&es_sm=93&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ei=KgSKVluNGY2ryATGnYD4Aw&ved=0CAgQ_AUoAQ&biw=1066&bih=702

https://www.google.com/search?q=living+people+smaller+than+dwarfs&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=living+people+smaller+than+dwarfs&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

So INSTEAD of "evolution", what we OBSERVE is widespread genetic variations within species that some "scientists" would CLAIM are "transitory" forms along their fictional evolutionary constructs; IF such LIVING variations were the bones they were digging up. WHAT WE OBSERVE shows information over time is LOST; NOT gained! (in other words "mutations" are a bad thing; not beneficial!) such extreme mutations DO NOT survive but inevitably die off! So EXTINCT genetic abnormalities among ANY and ALL species; including hominids, DO NOT show "evolution" but rather mutations that have become extinct over time as any reasonable scientist expects based on the EVIDENCE!

<https://www.facebook.com/WHYOutreach/photos/a.651187234910638.1073741829.210188172343882/933666296662729/?type=1&theater>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gjvuwne0RrE>

There is a need here to interject that the current "falsification" process of scientists submitting their theories only to respective scientific peers and disciplines is inherently flawed and it has been so throughout history. It has become very dangerous though, because it is having an undue influence on both children and adults who don't understand WHY it is inherently flawed. So those who present dissenting opinions based on observable evidence; like myself are handily dismissed and even laughed at by those who have been successfully demoralized to a state where they are incapable of critical thought any longer. (In other words, they are TRAINED to believe anything that is presented as "scientific", especially if it is presented by a famous scientist.) So you actually find people believing against all logic and reason; the very foundational laws of science and knowledge, ridiculous notions like "everything came from nothing".

Conformity has been so ingrained into these persons that they simply find it unthinkable to challenge the status quo; and those who do often find their careers decimated. Ask yourselves WHY? I will TELL you why. It is because WHOEVER IS IN POWER, WHOEVER CONTROLS THE MONEY AND THE MILITANT MIGHT, rules! (at least they exercise such undue influence that people are AFRAID to dissent) For example, <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/bad-cops/616245898454373> proves that the law doesn't matter in the moment a cop decides because they have a gun and a badge they can do whatever they want without consequence; including murdering innocent citizens. And our entire government and governments all over the world and down through history all prove that whoever is in

power and authority will enforce their own wills upon the masses forcefully; with RARE exceptions of those who realized GOD ALMIGHTY ultimately governs us all and that they will be held responsible for their actions sooner or later.

In the scientific community, it is seen in the way they all defer to whatever person or persons are paying them! (In other words a "consensus" among the scientific community, PROVES NOTHING, but that the MONEY behind their current popular opinions (SO CALLED "theories" and "laws"); is FORCING that consensus. Science in such circumstances is no longer science and the "falsification" process FAILS! (because those who would dissent or offer critical evidence against the popular and accepted theories or laws, FEAR for their careers and hence their personal survival and that of their families). So to ONLY listen to people with letters after their names; as designated experts, is INHERENTLY FLAWED, because DOWN THROUGH HISTORY, whoever controlled the guns, sought control of public education and the wealth of that region! As such, peer to peer review submission is MEANINGLESS. The BEST way to know whether or not ANYTHING is fundamentally true is to KNOW the ONE who is TRUTH. <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/how-to-know-truth-in-a-world-of-lies/622590531153243> But if you REALLY want the falsification process to work, you absolutely CANNOT fire other scientists who express a critical opinion of a theory or law; NO MATTER WHO submitted that theory or law; NO MATTER how famous they are; NO MATTER how many degrees they have! If you are a TRUE SCIENTIST, then EVIDENCE submitted to you should not matter WHO presents it! An apple is an apple whether or not a post graduate hands it to you or an infant, whether or not a genius presents it to you or an imbecile!

So when I say LOOK at the EVIDENCE, I mean it! Otherwise flawed "theories" and "laws" remain in place and HINDER the progress of science and scientific discoveries as they are forcefully ingrained upon generation after generation as "scientific fact" and valid; regardless of whether or not they actually are. PLEASE READ MY NOTES AND LOOK AT MY CITATIONS IN THEM! WATCH THE DOCUMENTARIES, READ EACH SO CALLED "MEME" BECAUSE THOSE youtube, google, and facebook citations are linked to FACTS (the memes have citations in the paragraphs describing them; linked to history and scientific discoveries, the google citations have pages and pages of scientific journals, historical research, expert opinions, verifiable observations, etc. and youtube has documentaries with biographies cited within or in the text below the videos; or are otherwise verifiable in the worldwide database of the Internet).

I DO CITE the Holy Bible as scientific evidence for many reasons <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-ineffably-awesome-holy-bible/621311364614493>; but namely to prove the contents are factually supported by what we OBSERVE; by SCIENCE! I also DO cite the findings of scientists, and even persons who are not in the OFFICIAL capacity of scientists, simply because NO ONE has to be an OFFICIAL scientist to PERCEIVE REALITY; to ACCURATELY OBSERVE the universe and all therein. (EVERYONE who ACCURATELY observes

creation is a "scientist" whether or not they are indoctrinated or degreed as such!) In fact, my research shows the general population may be more "scientific" today, than many degreed professionals for the aforementioned reasons and the very fact that public education has provably become public mass brainwashing. So possession of multiple degrees tells the rest of us that such persons have been even MORE brainwashed into conformity with popular "scientific" notions, than those without them!

ALL scientists should UNITE when fellow scientists EVEN ONE fellow scientist is fired simply for presenting a dissenting opinion or critique of any theory or law; no matter how popular it is; otherwise, "falsification" fails, and "science" is thereby turned into nothing more than popular fiction! (Issuing a dissenting opinion DOES NOT entitle teachers, scientists or media professionals to KNOWINGLY DECEIVE AND LIE TO STUDENTS! - such as the thousands of deceptions that have been proven fraudulent in educational text books but still remain in print and are still being forced upon the unsuspecting masses and their children)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b8GgrUposII>

Because of this fact (successful demoralization), I have often met those who REFUSE to read my notes and look at the evidence say ridiculous things like, "so you think you're smarter than the entire scientific community over the past few centuries!" and I think NOTHING of the kind; only that as I have STATED, EVIDENCE SHOULD NEVER BE IGNORED, NO MATTER WHO PRESENTS IT; and I am not the only critic of modern theoretical physics and evolutionary fiction as taught the public all over the world! IT IS BECAUSE, I have no fear of anyone or anything but GOD ALMIGHTY, that I can boldly make a fool of myself; because I KNOW we are ALL students before Him and we ALL know very, very little! It is also because I think it is VERY EVIL to sneer in derision at those who hold to Biblical Truths that have been declared for millenniums and stood the test of time and the greatest minds in the history of the world; only because those doing so THINK science and reason is in opposition to rather than PLAINLY SUPPORTS what the Word of our Creator; as recorded in the Holy Bible clearly tells us all. In other words, I SPEAK BOLDLY; not out of arrogance, but out of REAL and GENUINE concern for the lives of all mankind and all life on the planet; that it is WRONG to deceive people young or old into thinking something is scientific when it is NOT and VERY WRONG and EVIL to keep people; in the name of science and reason, from KNOWING WHAT IS ACTUALLY SCIENTIFIC AND REASONABLE; to knowingly deceive people in the name of science and reason, to keep them from KNOWING TRUTH; to brainwash them into believing lies and fiction in the name of science; in order to keep them from KNOWING GOD ALMIGHTY our CREATOR and LORD of the Universe!!!!!!!

I have read many of the latest scientific discoveries and watched more documentaries than I can enumerate, findings and submissions over the years in many disciplines, but in decades of research I have not yet found any scientific justification for the likes of the arrogant derision persons like Richard Dawkins and Neil deGrasse Tyson espouse toward creationists; while making cosmologically and evolutionary insupportable claims that roll so smoothly off their tongues. <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-dawkins-delusion/588126314599665> It is physically nauseating to listen to their monumental ignorance; while they are obviously in such narcissistic affinity for what they THINK they know! I HAVE read the deep time claims and the vast distance claims, BUT I contend that EVERY SINGLE stellar dating method (similar to the extremely inaccurate and fallacious radiometric dating methods) I have researched have whole lists of ASSUMPTIONS in them of varying degrees (can be falsified) with the exception of the closer celestial bodies that the parallax method can measure. (Dating methods that go beyond verifiable recorded history all have greater and greater amounts of error in them the further deep time claims are asserted). <http://www.cs.unc.edu/~plaisted/ce/dating.html> and <http://creation.com/the-way-it-really-is-little-known-facts-about-radiometric-dating> and <https://answersingenesis.org/geology/radiometric-dating/radiometric-dating-problems-with-the-assumptions/> and <http://biblicalgeology.net/blog/fatal-flaw-radioactive-dating/> and https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=errors%20and%20assumptions%20in%20stellar%20deep%20space%20deep%20time%20dating%20methods (scoffers were prophesied to come in the end times and make false assertions in the name of science - http://biblehub.com/2_peter/3-3.htm and http://biblehub.com/2_peter/3-5.htm and <http://biblehub.com/jude/1-18.htm> and http://biblehub.com/1_timothy/6-20.htm - their "science" is actually deceptive and fraudulent and is what the entire fiction of the modern evolutionary paradigm is built upon) ACCURATE OBSERVATIONS, REAL SCIENCE, Leads us all to TRUTH, Leads us all to our CREATOR!

"The most appropriate method to obtain accurate stellar ages relies on the computation of stellar models. However these models are far from being perfect, they are affected by several sources of uncertainties." - <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1410.5336.pdf>

Do you comprehend that ALL CURRENT MODELS are based on the fictional evolutionary constructs?! Do you really UNDERSTAND that from the very onset of atheistic and evolutionary cosmology; evolutionary constructs of how the chemicals formed, and the stars is ALL BUILT ON FALSE ASSUMPTIONS?! As such, BOTH with deep time dating methods on earth and in astrophysics, I find the above statement to be true; if you can actually get the scientists to ADMIT it! key phrase "...**FAR FROM BEING PERFECT, they are affected by SEVERAL SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTIES.**" and YET it is astonishing how many people walk around laughing at creationists saying don't you know? it's a "scientific fact!" and "dating methods are highly accurate!" BASICALLY, WHEN SCIENTISTS ARE HONEST, these dating methods ARE NOT inerrant, ARE NOT accurate, ARE NOT infallible, ARE NOT perfect, ARE NOT reliable, they are simply the

best they have at the moment and are at best only attempts to approximate these things; and BECAUSE OF WHO IS FUNDING them tends to support the ideologies of whoever is ensuring their own job security and survival at the moment; and at worst WILDLY inaccurate; so much so, as to be practically useless and even DECEPTIVE! When anyone looks into these things currently and historically, it is plain to see that, **CONTRARY TO POPULAR OPINION, "SCIENTISTS" ARE NOT ALWAYS ABLE TO BE AS OBJECTIVE as the masses might think**, and even when they are free to be such, all people I have encountered and read about in history are VERY subjective; even and especially those claiming objectivity.

<http://www.creationconcepts.org/resources/DATING-2.pdf>

The further one trespasses beyond recorded history, the more assumptions come into play and with each assumption, the greater chance of error increases! I AM NOT CONTENDING FOR A YOUNG UNIVERSE, I AM ONLY SAYING THAT THOSE WHO THINK DEEP TIME IS A "SCIENTIFIC FACT" AND ALL THESE DATING METHODS ARE INERRANT HAVE NOT READ THE SCIENTIFIC FINDINGS! THEY ARE REPEATING THEIR INSTITUTIONALIZED BRAINWASHING! AND I HAVE HAD IT WITH THESE PEOPLE WHO KEEP CLAIMING SUCH NONSENSE IS "SCIENTIFIC FACT" ONLY BECAUSE THEY HEARD IT FROM A PROFESSOR OR READ IT IN A TEXT BOOK OR SAW IT ON TV! The dissenting creationists HAVE NOT been heard and are increasingly being given no opportunity to point out all these many things concerning the popular paradigms of evolutionary thought are FAR MORE fiction and assumptions, speculations, and imaginations than ANYTHING that truly meets the merits of science, or "scientific fact", "theories", "laws" or postulates or even a well thought out hypothesis!

So the assumption that those supporting Intelligent Design have not heard or learned what evolutionary theories teach is false. I challenge therefore evolutionists to give Creationists NOT decades of your lives, like we were forced to, in listening to such wild imaginations; but to take a very small time, proportionately; considering thoughtfully the evidence that is being censored from public education by viewing some Creationist presentations.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GjyuwneORrE&list=UUul300ELNnKXyxFosz1HyJA>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8xL6sibLb6o&list=PL6Q7oDH9-hiiBa4RmUJoh-jojJT13r8Q> - evolutionists immediately began to make excuses for the discovery of human footprints with dinosaur tracks. They claimed that they aren't human footprints, but just eroded dinosaur tracks. Then they claimed someone carved the tracks in the stone by hand. (was so expert that they did it in a matter of days complete with proper anatomical features and weight distribution evidence) In fact, there were so

many who challenged the empirical evidence of the find, that Dr. Baugh went back, while the cameras were rolling live, and continued to remove the ground cover and uncovered MORE human footprints and dinosaur tracks in the SAME LAYER!

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q8rh2Zp6wc8> - notice he acknowledges the difference between the fake, carved prints and the genuine. <http://www.talkorigins.org/faqs/paluxy/onheel.html>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HaQqa90e9KQ> - seeing is believing. (evolution as it is taught today is FALSE!) - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7xYyHU5mRFo>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dWe3cteDuBc>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bZzlihVUti4> - the "geologic column" as is forced on students by the mass millions, is FACTUALLY fiction! - https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=charles+lyell+created+the+fictional+geologic+column&tbm=vid and https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=the+geologic+column+is+found+nowhere+on+earth&spell=1

If it was JUST the hotly debated footprints that invalidate evolutionary constructs, then we might argue indefinitely over them; but there is FAR MORE EVIDENCE that dinosaurs and man coexisted.

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=dinosaurs+and+man+coexisted

https://www.google.com/search?q=dinosaurs+and+man+coexisted&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=dinosaurs+and+man+coexisted&aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=living%20dinosaurs

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=mammal%20and%20dinosaur%20fossils%20together

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=list%20of%20soft%20tissue%20dinosaurs%20found

<http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/giants.htm>

<https://www.facebook.com/icr.org/photos/a.10150268208564451.345328.43552419450/10152879620299451/?type=1&theater>

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JV6K1az6NM&list=PL6Q7oDH9-kihPJTH_wAWIk-rduZzD1d-f&index=10

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vSwt8edtHUA&list=PL6Q7oDH9-kihPJTH_wAWIk-rduZzD1d-f&index=10

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ezG1IPFUMI4>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EWp0ewX3W94#t=3703> - artifacts are found proving humans and dinosaurs coexisted, and yet STILL instead of questioning dating methods (which are provably false) people INSTEAD jump to the conclusion that humans have been around for "millions" of years. The BULK of the EVIDENCE proves the GLOBAL FLOOD and RECENT history! (dinosaurs found with skin and soft tissue even digestive remains! - <http://www.dcclothesline.com/2014/03/08/contradicting-evolution-massive-dinosaur-soft-tissue-discovery-china-includes-skin-feathers/> (this was proven to be a scam - https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=chinese%20scams%20the%20smithsonian%20with%20false%20fossil%20and%20bird%20feathers) but modern birds have been fossilized in the same layers as dinosaurs that evolutionists would have you believe predated them by "millions of years" - https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=modern%20bird%20fossils%20found%20with%20dinosaurs

and https://www.google.com/search?q=fossilized+dinosaur+found+nearly+complete+with+skin+and+digestive+remains&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&es_sm=93&biw=1066&bih=702&source=Inms&sa=X&ei=v5tGVKqzluTfsASbplDwBg&ved=0CAUQ_AUoAA&dpr=1.5#q=hadrosaur+mummy&tbm=vid
and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7Vvr46hz0hk>)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R6GiNQvugn0>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8DXs3B9uEul&list=PL126FC84AA7438000>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iktmm7YnD8&list=PLEA099CE59F0A0322>

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=megalaria%20sightings

https://www.google.com/search?q=mokele+mbembe&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=mokele+mbe&aq=chrome..69i57j69i61&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=lake+monsters+worldwide

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dMi_xqnMas

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P-B2hACS0dQ> - whether cosmic evolution (they have no REASONABLE EXPLANATION for the existence of the universe and NO OBSERVABLE, REPEATABLE EXPERIMENTS to support the many forms of their so called "scientific theories" regarding evolutionary cosmology, chemical evolution (they have no REASONABLE EXPLANATION for the formation of the elements we have discovered to date, let alone ALL the elements that may yet be discovered in the unexplored universe), stellar evolution (despite CLAIMS otherwise NO ONE has SEEN the formation of stars, planets, galaxies EXCEPT our Creator and those souls He may have shown such things to. Again, no scientific repeatable experiments to demonstrate just how stars formed; but even if there were observations of merging smaller masses into larger masses, this wouldn't be a "birth of a star" or galaxy but simply the kind of fluid dynamics we observe on the space station happening on a larger scale);

organic evolution (fictional and unscientific; inherently known as abiogenesis - this is AT THE ONSET positively ludicrous! The Law of Biogenesis states plainly WHAT HAS BEEN OBSERVED "Life comes from life!" Again, science; WHAT WE OBSERVE, supports the Creationists; NOT the evolutionists), and macro evolution as taught mass millions in the name of science is SCIENTIFICALLY INSUPPORTABLE!

(imagination, FICTION - THINK ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE TEACHING AND HOW IT DEFIES SCIENTIFIC LAWS THROUGHOUT! (their entire constructs are provably fictional - SCIENCE, WHAT WE OBSERVE, disproves/falsifies virtually in every way what they are teaching! -

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=evolution+is+stupid, https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=evolution+lies+in+textbooks), the premises are false, the arguments are false, the entire evolutionary constructs and ridiculous conclusions have been THOROUGHLY FALSIFIED by actual science! On the other hand, science DOES SUPPORT THE BIBLICAL ACCOUNT THROUGHOUT!

This is a most SERIOUS issue! matters of life and death and everlasting destinies are at stake! People are getting fired merely for questioning Darwinian evolution! (scientists CLAIM they present their theories and laws publicly to WELCOME criticism; so that knowledge is increased; but in REALITY people are losing their livelihoods! careers! ability to survive in our modern society; who do just that.) The state, in clear and overt violation of our highest laws, is provably supporting a religious worldview; dogmatically and forcibly coercing the fictional evolutionary constructs against all the scientific support for Intelligent Design).

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-religion-of-evolution-versus-the-science-of-creation/729829070429388>

These people make statements like "but we make predictions" and then claim THOSE DESIGNED "experiments" and "methods" prove their predictions and offer those intentional frauds as "proof" for their theories or so called scientific laws. (like how many STRONGLY assert all the radiometric dating methods as being highly accurate; when THAT IS NOT A TRUE STATEMENT! -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TVuVYnHRuig> and ALL of those kinds of dating methods make NUMEROUS ASSUMPTIONS; that is NOT "scientific"! - <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/bill-nyes-science-lies/599335080145455> Just ask yourselves when ANYONE claims deep time; half-lives or otherwise, HOW LONG did they factually sample the radioactive substance? HOW many samples or permutations did they run to validate their findings? What were the conditions of the tests run on the samples (natural or unnatural settings, environment), what manmade substances were used in the procedure? and keep in mind that the idea of decay of these complex elements is yet another observation that FALSIFIES chemical evolutionary fictional constructs of how less complicated elements somehow formed themselves into all the more complex elements; BECAUSE those elements are DECAYING; and thus, EVERYTHING WE CAN ACTUALLY OBSERVE falsifies the fictional constructs of evolutionary theory throughout!)

I was in a debate recently online in which a person actually claimed that Stephen Hawking, in his opinion was the "smartest man on the planet" and then quoted Hawking, " **Because** there is a **law** such as **gravity**, the universe **can** and **will** create itself from **nothing...**" -

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Grand_Design_\(book\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Grand_Design_(book)) All sane, rational, reasonable persons left on earth KNOW that statement is FALSE (not scientific) and not even science fiction; but is purely imaginary; on a level that can be called delusionary; if the statement is seriously given in the name of science! In fact, it is so completely false that it is probable no actual "scientist" or rational person would make such statement; so those famous scientists that have, are more than likely conducting social experiments to see how much influence these persons (scientists; especially famous ones) carry upon the public in general. I would hope such intelligent persons would NOT participate in such experiments, as history proves that such mass experiments are typically funded by such evil persons who intend to use the findings for manipulating the masses with planned social engineering (mass brainwashing).

<http://www.livescience.com/28132-what-is-nothing-physicists-debate.html> - when denying the clear definition of a word ("nothing" is the absence of "everything", the very opposite, the antonym, it is MOST DEFINITELY not synonymous or the cause of ANYTHING; by definition!) and its connotation globally; because you are attempting to do the impossible (declare Creation exists without the Cause of our Creator, <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god/719346771477618>); then IT IS CLEARLY APPARENT TO ALL; that these persons are NOT practicing the scientific method and are engaging in imagination, fantasy, fiction NOT science!

Everyone but the most deceived and self-deluded KNOWS that something cannot come from nothing BY DEFINITION! and by the Laws of Epistemology that are INSTANTLY VIOLATED by such a contradictory claim! (If such persons are SERIOUSLY asserting such nonsense, then about the only actual scientific evidence they are presenting to the world is that they have gone mad/insane; totally and completely! - and further support the Word of GOD which has stated for millenniums -

<http://biblehub.com/romans/1-28.htm> - "And just as they did not see fit to acknowledge God any longer, God gave them over to a depraved mind..." and their arrogance simultaneously presented to the world with such massive ignorance is further fulfillment of <http://biblehub.com/romans/1-22.htm> - "Professing to be wise, they became fools,..." This notion of everything coming from nothing is not only perhaps one of the most ludicrous statements ever to be made by anyone, let alone in the name of science; so much so, that it flies past laughable immediately to being extremely pitiable. (BUT UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS THE END RESULT OF ANYONE WHO EMBRACES THE MODERN evolutionary paradigm; in part or in whole.)

<http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-noncontradiction/>

Far too many modern "scientists" redefine words, postulate ridiculous notions that are not supported by observation, and do such things as intentionally design tests, falsify test results, or ignore facts, because of peer pressure, job security, (the funding forcing them to say such things; as they know are NOT scientific) and for other unacceptable reasons that are provably damaging the millions of innocent people who go on thinking they are educated and KNOW something as true science that is actually nothing but fiction and wild imaginations or fantasies. They do all these deceptive things in vain attempts to support such foolish notions; WHILE THEY CLAIM THE VERY AXIOM OF SCIENCE demands that they should instead be looking for ways to falsify those theories or laws and finding none, THEN conclude that they have reasonably supported their "theory", hypotheses, or "laws". BUT THEY DO NOT DO THIS BECAUSE ANYONE CAN MAKE ANYTHING LOOK FALSE OR DECEIVE THE PUBLIC; as magicians prove to the whole world with all their illusions. So throw out their FALSE "axiom of science" and the whole notion that falsification plays any part whatsoever in determining what is actual science, actual knowledge and actually true. TRUE observations and FACTS can be presented in such a way or interpreted in such a way as to SEEM to falsify something; as is widely done, willfully, by those who misinterpret the Holy Bible in a vain attempt to claim something in it is in error. (Like I said clever people can "falsify" or rather appear to falsify ANYTHING simply through deceit and since we presently live in a world of open mass deceptions, liars, deceivers, ignorance, etc. that whole method is a very poor suggestion to prove a scientific proposal of any kind.) INSTEAD, people MUST ATTEMPT to be HONEST, ACCURATE, OBSERVERS of REALITY, and ACKNOWLEDGE the EXISTENCE of REALITY, even if it is unpleasant to their present accumulated "knowledge" to date. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2v5nAYU2GDO> WITHOUT ACCURATE OBSERVATIONS, "science" isn't even "science fiction". (Talking about "billions of years ago" IMMEDIATELY falls under the category of fantasy, imagination, fiction, NOT SCIENCE; unless you are claiming that you personally existed that long ago and are declaring your personal, honest, accurate observations) If you can't look at and acknowledge the facts, you are choosing to live in a delusory state of your own making; SO PLEASE LOOK AT THE FACTS!

<http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evidence-of-god-our-creator/568134419932188>

If you spend the next week or so looking at ALL the evidence referenced in that note, I may be somewhat redundant here; but I am going to list SIGNIFICANT FACTS ABOUT WHAT WE DO OBSERVE; (understand I just plainly showed the whole world; from the largest database in the history of mankind, that the popular evolutionary paradigm taught in public education IS NOT OBSERVED. Clearly evolutionary theory has NO scientific support, IT IS NOT OBSERVED! and from its foundational premises throughout is nothing but imaginary fiction!), so here I am going to list WHAT WE DO OBSERVE and HOW IT PLAINLY SUPPORTS THE BIBLICAL ACCOUNT, EXCLUSIVELY! (By "exclusively" I mean that in all the world; among all literature of antiquity, there exists no record that can remotely compare to the

vast knowledge contained in the Holy Bible, information that pertains to all scientific disciplines, information that pertains to all aspects of life and diverse professions, the largest compilation of historical information in ancient literature and confirmed by archeologists and anthropologists as accurate, information for governing the affairs of mankind, information about the scientific laws governing the universe, information that not only has been verified about our past but has accurately foretold detailed events in history on through current events happening before the eyes of the whole world; information that leads to the very SOURCE of fundamental TRUTH and ALL COMBINED Wisdom, Knowledge and Understanding in the Universe! - so some books and volumes of books may contain bits and pieces of information about what we observe and the process behind what we observe; but no other book comes close to the combined knowledge and unique attributes of the Record of our Creator; given to us all, as contained in the Holy Bible! - <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-svenson/the-ineffably-awesome-holy-bible/621311364614493>) So let's begin:

THE OBSERVABLE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTS THE BIBLICAL ACCOUNT OVERWHELMINGLY:

A) FIRST WORDS WE READ IN THE FIRST VERSE! In the Beginning...<http://biblehub.com/genesis/1-1.htm> and <http://biblehub.com/john/1-1.htm> and <http://biblehub.com/john/1-3.htm> and <http://biblehub.com/john/1-4.htm> and <http://biblehub.com/colossians/1-16.htm>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZV0hUldrYp4>

I could cite many more verses here but the Biblical Account plainly states that creation (the universe) had a Beginning. And science confirms this in the following ways:

1) https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=mathematical%20proof%20that%20the%20universe%20has%20a%20beginning - In laymen's terms reverse extrapolation of presently observed expansion (regardless of steady state theory or big bang) means that IF present expansion is indicative of the past, then at one time energy and mass was combined in one location. Mathematics and Laws of Motion proves what I saw: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ri3R6vFVfEg&feature>

2) "This argument about whether or not the universe had a beginning, persisted into the 19th and 20th centuries. It was conducted mainly on the basis of theology and philosophy, with little consideration of

observational evidence. This may have been reasonable, given the notoriously unreliable character of cosmological observations, until fairly recently. The cosmologist, Sir Arthur Eddington, once said, 'Don't worry if your theory doesn't agree with the observations, because they are probably wrong.' But if your theory disagrees with the Second Law of Thermodynamics, it is in bad trouble. In fact, the theory that the universe has existed forever is in serious difficulty with the Second Law of Thermodynamics. The Second Law, states that disorder always increases with time. Like the argument about human progress, it indicates that there must have been a beginning. Otherwise, the universe would be in a state of complete disorder by now, and everything would be at the same temperature. In an infinite and everlasting universe, every line of sight would end on the surface of a star. This would mean that the night sky would have been as bright as the surface of the Sun. The only way of avoiding this problem would be if, for some reason, the stars did not shine before a certain time. " -

<http://www.hawking.org.uk/the-beginning-of-time.html> - Stephen Hawking in this paragraph actually expresses some rational thought, competent and coherent arguments, regardless of the rest of his statements in this citation.

I want to point out a few things here for emphasis. The Second Law of Thermodynamics is in DIRECT OPPOSITION to the entire evolutionary constructs! They absolutely, positively CANNOT both be true. Evolution is claiming that order came out of chaos and the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics is saying that everything we observe breaks down, cools off, disintegrates, becomes more and more disordered over time. (The Word of GOD puts it this way - http://biblehub.com/2_corinthians/4-18.htm - EVERYTHING WE SEE (visible matter) IS TEMPORARY, had a beginning and will most definitely have an end. Our Creator tells us matter as it presently exists is temporal! finite! and the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics supports that). So the 2nd Law tells us; CONTRARY to evolution, that DNA over time will tend to lose, NOT gain, information, planets and stars will tend to DIE off, species will become extinct until there are none, etc. And not surprisingly this is exactly what is observed. https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=more%20than%2098%25%20of%20all%20species%20have%20gone%20extinct

Currently, we are witnessing mass extinction events worldwide; while these delusionary persons remain in psychological denial; still desperately clinging to their beloved theory in the vain hope that there is no GOD. Evolutionists INTENTIONALLY dismiss this scientific law BECAUSE it CLEARLY FALSIFIES what they believe! Consider what they THINK is scientific fact, (deep time of billions of years COMBINED with less ordered and complex life forms becoming more ordered and complex life forms (macro-evolution); they BELIEVE this DESPITE the fact that EVERYTHING we OBSERVE proves the OPPOSITE! But THEN THEY ACTUALLY ARE SO DECEIVED, THEY START POINTING AT "THE FOSSIL RECORD", as if the fossil record somehow supports evolutionary lunacy! evolutionists are SO deceived or self-deluded that they can't comprehend that the entire collection of fossils to date SOUNDLY FALSIFIES their religious evolutionary beliefs! <https://www.facebook.com/1mill.creationist/posts/872158116148696:0> LARGER AND MORE

COMPLEX CREATURES ARE OBSERVED IN THE FOSSIL RECORD! <http://jcourt.net/an-ecuadorian-giant-25-foot-tall/> FAR MORE SPECIES THAN WE HAVE ON EARTH TODAY! (scientists claim as much as a whopping 99% more! -https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=99+percent+of+species+on+earth+extinct) hmmm their proposal is evolution causes BENEFICIAL changes (despite all observed natural mutations to date) over time, and they CLAIM billions of years have transpired (more than sufficient time to support their irrational claims) and YET instead of MORE SPECIES we have as little as 1% of all those that have ever existed on earth with MORE going extinct almost daily! - https://www.google.com/search?q=extinction+events+currently+underway&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=extinction+events+currently+underway&aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=0&ie=UTF-8 and http://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=mass+die+offs+worldwide) but not only with the passage of time are the NUMBER of species dying off rapidly but the SIZE and OVERALL HEALTH OF ALL LIFE ON EARTH! https://www.google.com/search?q=animals+humans+and+insects+were+larger+in+the+past&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=animals+humans+and+insects+were+larger+in+the+past&aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=0&ie=UTF-8#q=Species+were+larger+in+the+past (the only repeatable experiment that I am aware of showing WHY species were larger and healthier in the past has been done by Christian Creationist, Dr. Carl Baugh - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N63lhtx2q8o&list=PL617ADBAD9F6F8790>)

The cognitive dissonance in these persons is astounding! IF they get saved, it ABSOLUTELY will be PROOF of GOD; because they are presently impervious to reason, knowledge, science and facts; so it most definitely will take a SUPERNATURAL ACT to reach them! (The evidence in support of this is how many cannot read or look at the actual evidence supporting the Biblical Account, and how many I have encountered who ACTIVELY DENY reality like the Real Mt. Sinai, The Flood, ashen remains of sodom and gomorrah and the hundreds of sites uncovered by archeologists, the recorded history documented by anthropologists, the fossil evidence of giants and much more ubiquitous REAL evidence that annihilate their ridiculous notions and worldviews.) In my honest opinion, the people I have encountered are showing that absolutely nothing short of a Divine Miracle can deliver these persons from such severe brainwashing. (The Word of GOD put it this way -<http://biblehub.com/matthew/24-24.htm> and <http://biblehub.com/romans/1-28.htm> and <http://biblehub.com/romans/1-22.htm> and http://biblehub.com/2_timothy/3-7.htm)

When people make idols out of "scientists" they are putting those fallible people on a pedestal that only God our Creator deserves, and is why they actually believe "life" was created by one of them in a laboratory, when none of them ever came close! Not only can they not face scientific facts, actual history, but they can't even look at the events transpiring in front of the eyes of the whole world proving the Biblical Account is Most Definitely Divinely Inspired.

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/end-times-verse-by-verse/625795230832773>
and https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=mass+extinction+events+currently+underway+worldwide

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=mass+die+offs

So the universe had a beginning, earth had a beginning, everything we can see had a beginning AND it ALL has an end. 2nd Law of Thermodynamics is clearly, plainly, observably TRUE and CONFIRMS THE BIBLICAL ACCOUNT; while evolutionary theories from cosmology through macro evolution (darwinism) are ALL clearly, plainly, observably FALSE; so FALSE, it is only religious desperation of those who desperately WANT there to be no God that keeps it from being discarded as the complete and total nonsense that it is!

For millenniums the Holy Bible has declared a BEGINNING, even when steady state and an infinite universe was a popular concept and as Hawking put it IF that were true, there would be no darkness we would have light everywhere. (By the way this is another argument FOR Intelligent Design and the Biblical Account of Creation)

I have already covered some of the ADDITIONAL FACTUAL SUPPORT for the VERY FIRST VERSE; WHICH SHOWS THAT WHAT WE OBSERVE UBIQUITOUSLY (SCIENCE) CONFIRMS IT IN SO MANY WAYS IT IS ABSOLUTELY A SIGN OF MASSIVE IGNORANCE AND PERHAPS INSANITY TO DENY IT! In other words, not only does the physical reality of the universe confirm it (accurate observation and understanding of what is seen (can be perceived by your "natural" senses); but the spiritual reality behind it all. (accurate perception and understanding of what is unseen), MUST be spiritually discerned (is observed and understood accurately only by those who have been "born of God's Spirit"; not just born of the flesh - http://biblehub.com/1_corinthians/2-14.htm to REALLY understand the fullness of Creation EVERYONE MUST be "born again" - <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/you-must-be-born-again-of-the-holy-spirit-of-god/533516303394000>) GOD's WORD is TRUTH!

<http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evidence-of-god-our-creator/568134419932188> - the physical universe (plainly observed reality overwhelmingly supports the Biblical Account throughout).

<http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/no-more-brainwashing-no-more-lies-science-proves-who-god-is-part-1/518695411542756> - observable reality supporting the Biblical Account and falsifying the nonsense of the popular evolutionary paradigm so many have been factually brainwashed to believe in.

<http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/no-more-brainwashing-no-more-lies-science-proves-who-god-is-part-2/518696871542610>

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-ineffably-awesome-holy-bible/621311364614493> - THE HOLY BIBLE IS FACTUALLY THE MOST AUTHORITATIVE SCIENTIFIC REFERENCE IN THE ENTIRE WORLD AND HAS BEEN FOR MILLENNIUMS! no fallible "scientist" in all their many books have EVER published anything as astute, as accurate, as fundamentally true, as what is written in the Word of GOD! (especially virtually anything and everything any of them have postulated in modern theoretical physics) IN OTHER WORDS, the scientific findings in the Holy Bible contain NOT JUST ACCURATE OBSERVATIONS of past, present and even "FUTURE" events (thousands upon thousands of "predictions" that not only came to pass historically but are happening in front of the eyes of the whole world presently!) BUT unlike all the total and complete imaginary, facile twaddle published in the name of science by so many of these deceived and deluded "scientists" today, actually has NUMEROUS TESTABLE and REPEATABLE constructs THROUGHOUT! (I cover this in more detail; not only in my citations of other theses I have written like <http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-sufferings-of-christ-a-treatise-on-repentance-and-forgiveness/556880667724230>, , but as you read on) I make this statement because of how many of them REFUSE to even consider citing the most accurate reference work in the entire history of mankind as something that has been submitted for "peer review"; WHEN NOTHING ELSE THAT HAS EVER BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE ENTIRE HISTORY OF MANKIND HAS UNDERGONE MORE SCIENTIFIC SCRUTINY! (in other words, the Holy Bible is BY FAR the most reliable reference material in written format on our globe; **BY FAR!!!**; and yet these people revere the imaginations of obscure and provably deceived and self-deluded persons as their chosen support for "science".

GET THIS THROUGH YOUR DENSE SKULLS YOU PROVABLY BRAINWASHED, RIDICULOUSLY STUBBORN PERSONS! (addressing the hard headed evolutionists and atheists) NOT ONE! NOT ONE! I repeat NOT ONE! "peer review" you can cite holds even the tiniest spark of pervasive scientific scrutiny the contents of the Holy Bible has meticulously undergone FOR MILLENNIUMS! As such, you can consider, some obscure publication reviewed by a handful of persons all funded by the same evil and wicked people to be your "evidence" if you want to; but the rest of SANE and REASONABLE humanity PREFER to cite the scientific findings that HAVE STOOD THE TEST OF TIME AND PRESENTED TO BILLIONS OF SOULS

OPENLY ALL OVER THE WORLD; THROUGHOUT HISTORY TO THIS PRESENT DAY! As such, any "new" ideas that appear to defy this reference work, is FAR MORE LIKELY that those "new" ideas, ideologies are IN ERROR, than that they in anyway falsify the contents of the Holy Bible, that provably accurately records what we observe AND has had the greatest minds and most powerful persons in the History of the World unanimously acknowledge and refer to it themselves. (the fact that so many arrogant and ignorant evolutionists and atheists don't or choose to ignore its contents, would be yet more evidence of your factual brainwashing and self delusions; not to mention having a very adverse affect on your arrogant presumption that evolutionists are "intelligent and reasonable" and your likewise brainwashed ideology that conversely creationists are dumb, stupid and base their worldview on "blind faith" without the use of either intelligence or reason.) - Are you present day evolutionists and atheists actually claiming superior intelligence and knowledge to these creationists who founded the very fields of modern sciences and won Nobel prizes for their discoveries? -

<http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/fathers-of-the-sciences-and-great-scientific-minds-in-their-own-words/567609163318047>

and <https://www.facebook.com/answersforatheists/photos/a.183264395187217.1073741827.181573948689595/333385456841776/?type=1&theater>

continued on <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-not-science-it-isnt-even-good-science-fictio/747466325332329>

[Like](#) [Comment](#)

[Share](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=10153406368494899>



[What Are Some Good Questions to Ask an Evolutionist?](#)

[04:41](#)

51,190 Views

[Answers in Genesis](#)

What Are Some Good Questions to Ask an Evolutionist?

[November 25, 2014 at 3:24am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) [https://answersingenesis.org/.../hasnt-evolution-been-.../...](https://answersingenesis.org/.../hasnt-evolution-been-.../)

[Hasn't Evolution Been Proven True?](#)

Evolution is taken as fact by both unbelievers and some Christians. Monty White demonstrates that evolution has never been proven.

ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG

[December 2, 2014 at 5:39pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZV0hUldrYp4>



[THE AMAZING FIRST VERSE OF THE BIBLE - You MUST see this film!](#)

Visit the DREAMER movie site: <http://tinyurl.com/dreamer2013>

YOUTUBE.COM

[December 5, 2014 at 2:34pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)




[Michael](#)


[Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/icr.org/photos/a.10150268208564451.345328.43552419450/10152941299229451/?type=1&theater>

Notice anything *DIFFERENT?*

**Darwinian
Theory**



**Fossil
Record**



[Institute for Creation Research \(ICR\)](#)

Notice anything different? Check out this article: <http://www.icr.org/article/what-grows-evolutions-tree>

For more information on the fossil record, also consider The Fossil Record, written by Dr. John Morris. <http://store.icr.org/The-Fossil-Record/productinfo/BFORE1/>

[#FossilFriday](#)

[December 6, 2014 at 12:19pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael](#)

[Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=888255231205651&set=vb.286013238096523&type=3&theater>

[December 11, 2014 at 1:14am](#) · [Like](#)



[Michael](#)

[Swenson](https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10154897076790234&set=gm.514209358719900&type=1&theater) <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10154897076790234&set=gm.514209358719900&type=1&theater>

DATING DONE BY EVOLUTIONIST.
HOW CAN YOU FIND A SKULL UNDER KBS TUFF

The KBS tuff, named for Kay Behrensmeyer, was dated using Potassium Argon (K-Ar) at 212-230 Million years. *Nature* April 18, 1970 p. 226. Photo *National Geographic* Nov. 1985 p. 589

Skull #KNM-ER 1470 was found (in 1972) under the KBS tuff by Richard Leakey. It looks like modern humans but was dated at 2.9 million years old.

Later, 10 different samples were dated from .52- 2.64 Million years old. (down from 212 million.) (Even this is more than a 500% error)

Bones of Contention by Marvin Lubenow, pp. 247-266 Available from CSE \$13

Bones of Contention by Lewin p. 257

KBS TUFF IS VOLCANO FORMATION

NEW TEST = NEW YEARS1 HUHHH

KBS TUFF 230 MILLIONS YRS OLD
MODERN HUMANS SUPPOSED TO BE 2.9

[Luke Lefebvre](#) [Human evolution is a FAIRY TALE STORY!](#)

Osama look at this and you'll notice that the formation is on top of the skull that is 200,000 years old but the formation is 230 million years old. You really don't know when the formation was laid so you cannot date dinosaurs by formation buddy

December 24, 2014 at 7:24am · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael](#)

[Swenson](https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10154928332340234&set=gm.518355788305257&type=1&theater) <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10154928332340234&set=gm.518355788305257&type=1&theater>

LET'S TALK DATING

IF IT DOESN'T LAST FOR YOUR KIDS, IT WON'T LAST FOR YOU

- DATING DIAMONDS ISOTOPES TO 6 BILLION WHEN THE EARTH IS 4.5.

- DATING COAL WITH C14 IN IT WHEN COAL IS SUPPOSED TO BE 300 MILL.

- DATING MOON ROCKS, THE SAME ROCK YIELDS DIFFERENT DATES.

HOW OLD IS THE EARTH? 6 BILL YEARS OLD DIAMONDS IN 4.5 BILL YR OLD ROCK

by Alexander Z. Shikama

EXAMPLE # 1

In 1986 the world's leading science journal, *Nature*, announced that the most ancient rock crystals on earth, according to isotopic dating methods, are 4.2 billion years old and come from Jack Hills in Western Australia.

W. Compston and R. T. Pidgeon (*Nature* 321:766-769, 1986) obtained 160 zircon crystals from a single rock unit and subjected them to uranium-lead concordia (U/Pb) and uranium-thorium concordia (U/Th) dating methods. One crystal showed a 50% date of 4.2 billion years, and the authors therefore claimed it to be the oldest rock crystal yet discovered.

EXAMPLE # 2

This rock is even older, however it was identified by the journal editors as a significant advance in knowledge. Another example is the work of J.A. Pickett, J. Pae, O. Nishi, S. Zashu, and M. Cosme **SOURCE: *Nature* 334:867-869, 1988**. They found what might have been the world's oldest rock crystals, but unfortunately they were too old.

They extracted diamonds from rocks in Zaire and found by the potassium-argon method that they (the diamonds) were six billion years old, but the earth is supposed to be only 4.5 billion years old. So Pickett and friends decided they must be wrong. They admitted, however, that if the date had not been contradicted by the known age of the earth, they would have accepted it as valid, isotopic dating.

DATING DONE BY EVOLUTIONIST BUT IT C-14 FOUND IN COAL? IMPOSSIBLE!

COAL IS SUPPOSED TO BE 300 MILLIONS YEARS OLD & IN CARBONIFEROUS AGE.

THE PROBLEM IS ADMITTED BY TALK ORIGIN A LARGE ATHEIST SITE.

Radiometric dating technique, is in some cases finding trace amounts of radioactive carbon-14 in coal deposits, amounts that seem to indicate an age of around 40,000 years. Though this result is still too old to fit into any young-earth creationist chronology, it would also seem to represent a problem for the established geologic timescale, as conventional thought holds that coal deposits were largely if not entirely formed during the Carboniferous period, approximately 300 million years ago.

"C in coal is probably produced...Research is ongoing at this very moment...The fungi/bacteria hypothesis...may also be plausible...probably only...it is not clear...they may contribute to C."

THE LONGER VERSION HAS MORE WORDS LIKE THAT.

The TalkOrigins Archive
Exploring the Creation/Evolution Controversy

[Browse](#) [Search](#) [Feedback](#) [Links](#)

DOESN'T TURN OUT RIGHT, SO THE EXCUSES... IF C14 IS IN COAL SCIENCE HAS A FLAW OR THE EARTH IS NOT THAT OLD

RADIOMETRIC DATING YIELDS

The Unreliability of Radiometric Dating

By Jon Covey, B.A., M.T.(A.S.P.)
Anita Miller, M.D., M.P.H., M.A.

And samples brought back from the moon are tested and dated. These tests are not even done on other planets. It is important to note that the moon is considered to be a younger planet than the earth. The relative ages are the only age dates that are considered to have any value during the last 4 billion years.

Sample	Location (Approximate)	Technique	Age (Millions of Years)	Estimated Error (Millions of Years)
1008	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1009	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1010	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1011	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1012	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1013	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1014	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1015	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1016	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1017	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1018	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1019	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1020	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1021	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1022	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1023	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1024	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1025	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1026	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1027	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1028	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1029	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1030	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1031	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1032	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1033	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1034	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1035	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1036	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1037	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1038	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1039	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1040	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1041	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1042	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1043	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1044	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1045	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1046	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1047	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1048	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1049	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1050	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1051	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1052	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1053	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1054	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1055	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1056	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1057	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1058	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1059	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1060	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1061	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1062	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1063	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1064	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1065	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1066	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1067	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1068	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1069	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1070	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1071	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1072	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1073	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1074	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1075	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1076	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1077	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1078	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1079	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1080	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1081	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1082	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1083	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1084	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1085	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1086	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1087	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1088	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1089	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1090	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1091	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1092	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1093	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1094	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1095	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1096	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1097	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1098	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1099	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04
1100	16120	U/Pb	4.56	±0.04


Http://www.creationintheevolution.org/Articles/Radiometric%20Dating.html

DIFFERENT DATES ON MOON ROCKS?

December 31, 2014 at 1:00pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson
<https://www.facebook.com/AtheismFails/photos/a.530611936985948.1073741828.519751511405324/802019353178537/?type=1&theater>




[Atheism Fails](#)

Get ready for all the atheists to correct us and tell us "actually atheism is..."

[January 4 at 4:25am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) http://www.conservapedia.com/Counterexamples_to_Evolution



[Counterexamples to Evolution - Conservapedia](#)

The theory of evolution does not permit the existence of any counterexamples. If any one of the 49 counterexamples listed below is...

CONSERVAPEDIA.COM

[January 8 at 10:22am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



Michael Swenson <http://proofthebibleistrue.com/.../creation.../kent-hovind/> the antichrists currently in power have unjustly persecuted this godly man, please pray for his release and vindication.



[Proof The Bible Is True](#)

Welcome, glad to have you visit us from ,, For first time visitors or if you are not sure where to start exploring this site, click here. On this s

PROOFTHEBIBLEISTRUE.COM

[January 10 at 5:41pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



Michael Swenson <http://www.truthinscience.org.uk/.../48-the-fossil-record...>



[The Fossil Record](#)

Truth In Science, an organisation promoting good science education in the UK.

TRUTHINSCIENCE.ORG.UK

[January 13 at 7:02pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



Michael Swenson A) The mothering dinosaurs sat on their nests until they died and amazingly nothing consumed the corpse and eggs.

B) There was a sudden flood of water carrying great mounds of mud and earth which buried the nesting mother with her eggs and preserved the bones in formation. Which makes more sense - A or B?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kp4Dg8XBeUA>



[How Did Dinosaurs Reproduce?](#)

Crocodiles and birds, the closest living relatives of extinct dinosaurs, build nests and lay eggs. So it's not surprising...

YOUTUBE.COM

[January 18 at 3:13pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



Michael

Swenson <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155113690755234&set=gm.540909522716550&type=1&theater>

OF COURSE THERE IS A GIANT APE

Gigantopithecus blacki

Morphology

Based on the fossil evidence, it is believed that adult male Gigantopithecus blacki stood about 3 m (9.8 ft) tall and weighed as much as 540 kg (1,200 lb), making the species two to three times heavier than modern gorillas and nearly five times heavier than the orangutan, its closest living relative.

Classification

In the past, it had been thought that G. blacki was an ancestor of humans, on the basis of molar evidence; this is now regarded a result of convergent evolution. G. blacki is now placed in the subfamily Ponginae along with the orangutan.





Gigantopithecus species compared with a 1.8 meter tall person

SKELETONS OF GIANTS IN ALASKA

Ancient Cemetery Uncovered in the Atlin Gold District.

Several skeletons were found in the Atlin Gold District, Alaska, in 1908. The skeletons were found in a cave and were of a race of giants. The skeletons were found in a cave and were of a race of giants. The skeletons were found in a cave and were of a race of giants.



Over 1000 Giant digs have been found in recent years. Giant skeleton finds have not made the local/national news since the 1950's for the most part. It may be the fear that it questions everything we have been taught.

CRIES THE EVO BUT NO GIANT PEOPLE.

[Luke Lefebvre](#) Human evolution is a FAIRY TALE STORY!

Well, what can I say. Is the atheist not bias or what. Anthropology 101

February 11 at 5:05pm · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/notes/heather-ritz/darwin-was-wrong/914250588619712>



[Heather Ritz](#)

[Darwin Was Wrong!](#)

Darwin thought there was a gradual accumulation of changes throughout history, however there is no evidence of the gradual accumulation of adaptive changes. There is a sudden appearance of animal phyla in the fossil record, and a discontinuity between animal body plans.

- "Eldredge and Gould certainly would agree that so...

[Continue Reading](#)

February 11 at 6:40pm · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://answersingenesis.org/.../the-worlds-a-graveyard/>



[The World's a Graveyard](#)

If the Genesis Flood, as described in Genesis 7 and 8 really occurred, what evidence would we expect to find?

ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG

February 14 at 3:32pm · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t8H35GDLNuw>



[Evolution Challenge of the Fossil record. Duane Gish, PhD](#)


The late Dr. Duane Gish was a man who, in addition to his...

YOUTUBE.COM

[February 14 at 3:32pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) [https://answersingenesis.org/.../is-tiktaalik.../...](https://answersingenesis.org/.../is-tiktaalik.../)




[Is Tiktaalik Evolution's Greatest Missing Link?](#)

The media's excitement over *Tiktaalik* seems to mostly come from being able to discredit the biblical account of creation.

ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG

[February 22 at 6:41pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)




[Michael](#)

[Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155333739980234&set=gm.873040849422566&type=1&theater](https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155333739980234&set=gm.873040849422566&type=1&theater) even critics at least have the presence of mind to acknowledge history; those failing to do so are presenting themselves as ignoramuses at best and depraved and insane at worst.

JESUS NEVER EXISTED! Hahahahahh

Bart D. Ehrman is an American New Testament scholar, currently the James A. Gray Distinguished Professor of Religious Studies at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill


Bart Ehrman, What I think we can say with some confidence is that **Jesus actually did die, he probably was buried, and that some of his disciples (all of them? some of them?) claimed to have seen him alive afterward.** Among those who made this claim, interestingly enough, was Jesus' own brother James, who came to believe in Jesus and soon thereafter became one of the principle leaders of the early Christian church. Bart Ehrman, *Jesus, Apocalyptic Prophet of the New Millennium*, [Oxford University Press US, 1999], p.229



Bart Ehrman, one of the most zealous critics of the Bible alive today, says: "With respect to Jesus, we have numerous, independent accounts of his life...sources that originated in Jesus's native tongue...and that can be dated to within just a year or two of his life...Historical sources like that are pretty astounding for an ancient figure of any kind...The claim that Jesus was simply made up falters on every ground." *Huffington Post* 3/20/2012

Not only does Pope Bart believe in a historical Jesus but in a historical Paul

Ehrman writes: "One point I want to reemphasize. From what Paul does tell us, it is clear that he did indeed know about the historical Jesus." (p. 139).



[Http://www.answering-islam.org/authors/thompson/bart_ehrman_hero.html#fn_4](http://www.answering-islam.org/authors/thompson/bart_ehrman_hero.html#fn_4)

April 10 at 8:35pm · Like · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <http://ow.ly/L2WHp>



[The Institute for Creation Research](#)

Humans don't need to learn the ability to move to a rhythm, according to a new study. They are born with it. Two researchers from Finland and the...

ICR.ORG

[April 11 at 11:33am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael](#)

[Swenson](#) <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155333355905234&set=gm.872980532761931&type=1&theater>


RADIOMETRIC DATING: PROBLEMS WITH MT NGAURUHOE

Radioactive rocks: Do radioactive atoms, such as uranium (the parent isotopes), decay into stable atoms, such as lead (the daughter isotopes), at a measurable rate?

50 years old lava can't be 3.5 million years old

Mt Ngauruhoe is New Zealand's newest volcano and one of the most active. The radioactive potassium-argon dating method has been demonstrated to fail on 1949, 1954, and 1975. We know the true ages of the rocks because they were observed to form less than 50 years ago. Yet they yield "ages" up to 3.5 million years which are thus false. If the method fails on rocks when we have an independent eye-witness account, then why should we trust it on other rocks where there are no independent historical cross-checks?

Eruption of Ngauruhoe, 1974.



[Luke Lefebvre](#) Human evolution is a FAIRY TALE STORY! p


We saw that igneous rock form within 50 years with the New Zealand volcano. so why does radiometric dating place at 3.5? That's because it's not a credible science. It's not junk science but it certainly isn't going to surpass Eye observation science historical science. When someone tells you that radiometric dating is a 100% science they lie to your face I guarantee it. There's been many mistakes made with radiometric dating. Many

[April 14 at 7:38pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael](#)

[Swenson](https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=956191821092255&set=gm.836378329779248&type=1&theater) <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=956191821092255&set=gm.836378329779248&type=1&theater>



[Heather RitzGod in Science.](#)

Not only does the genetic evidence contradict Darwin's tree, there's no evidence of a seed... The Neo-Darwinist's faith has no foundation.

My faith is built upon a rock, a solid foundation.

"Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD, Behold, I lay in Zion for a foundation a stone, a tried stone, a precious corner [stone], a sure foundation: he that believeth shall not make haste." ~ Isaiah 28:16 (KJV)

"Therefore whosoever heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them, I will liken him unto a wise man, which built his house upon a rock:" ~ Matthew 7:24 (KJV)

"He is like a man which built an house, and digged deep, and laid the foundation on a rock: and when the flood arose, the stream beat vehemently upon that house, and could not shake it: for it was founded upon a rock." ~ Luke 6:48 (KJV)

[April 18 at 9:19pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) [https://answersingenesis.org/.../geologic-evidences.../...](https://answersingenesis.org/.../geologic-evidences.../)



[Geologic Evidences for the Genesis Flood](#)

This is a series of articles explaining the evidences from geology for the Genesis Flood.

ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG

[April 18 at 11:32pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=ApJ-nvNoTSg&hc_location=ufi



[Evolutionists Debunk Evolution](#)

A very Funny compilation of Atheists debunking each other. One Atheist DESTROYS another one after another...These...

YOUTUBE.COM

[April 20 at 7:34am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <http://m.youtube.com/watch?v=hkwXNuyFhAw>



[Abiogenesis explained and discredited](#)

Abiogenesis is statistically impossible. Atheists still advocate this myth however despite it having zero evidence.

YOUTUBE.COM

[April 28 at 11:02pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EUQEMqF5dL8> - The Gospel of YAHOSHUAH is not just written in the HOLY BIBLE, it is written in the stars! (this is worth watching all 2 hours of it!)



[Mazzaroth the story of Yeshua.](#)

For years I struggled with being a "Christian" using generalised titles as my Gods name etc. I struggled with lots...

YOUTUBE.COM

[April 29 at 3:30pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)




[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BZGN6EKjvAo>




[Book of Enoch: REAL STORY of Fallen Angels, Devils & Man \(NEPHILIM, ANCIENT ALIENS, NOAHS FLOOD](#)

YOUTUBE.COM

[May 1 at 7:43pm](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson http://www.worldmag.com/.../part_2_what_s_the_matter_with...](http://www.worldmag.com/.../part_2_what_s_the_matter_with...)



[WORLD | Part 2: What's the matter with evolution? | Casey Luskin | May 2, 2015](#)

SCIENCE | Five more scientific problems found in evolutionary theory

WORLD MAG.COM

[May 5 at 5:46am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-space-time-continuum/833112730101021](https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-space-time-continuum/833112730101021) quantum mechanics and quantum field

theory <http://www.icr.org/article/higgs-boson-big-bang/> appear to me to be nothing more than putting new words and terms to old ideas. (kind of like calling "dragons", "dinosaurs" in order to suppress actual history.) GOD has told us for millenniums that what is VISIBLE is presented by what is

NOT! http://biblehub.com/2_corinthians/4-18.htm BY HIM! <http://biblehub.com/colossians/1-17.htm> by His Creative Power, Spoken Word (vibrations , frequencies, waves) to bring forth from His Invisible Eternal Self, Visible Creation. [http://biblehub.com/1_timothy/1-](http://biblehub.com/1_timothy/1-17.htm)

[17.htm](http://biblehub.com/1_timothy/1-17.htm) and <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=John+1> and <https://www.facebook.com/..the-religion.../729829070429388> and [https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-](https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/understanding-the-holy-bible-part-1/611082142304082)

[swenson/understanding-the-holy-bible-part-1/611082142304082](https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/understanding-the-holy-bible-part-1/611082142304082) when the atom was first discovered it was considered the "smallest building block of matter" but when protons, neutrons and electrons came along, we started to see the rabbit holes of modern physics go on virtually endlessly. To the point where quarks have been discovered and smaller particles still. At some point scientists are trying to define when and how is energy transformed into mass, (HOW DOES GOD MAKE the INVISIBLE, VISIBLE) I encourage them to go on in their search; but what I object to is calling any of these discoveries "natural" when in fact they are both supernatural in origin and essence. I object to those who call these mechanisms "explained" simply because they apply DIVINE attributes to anything observed; especially particles so small it takes imagination to even begin to conceive of them, "discover" them, and define them according to their own presuppositions that discovering tiny vibrations, waves, and particles explains Creation apart from our Creator; when it absolutely does NOT. NO MATTER HOW MANY SMALLER AND SMALLER PARTICLES MAY YET BE FOUND, BOTH ENERGY AND MASS ULTIMATELY EXISTS

BY DIVINE PROVIDENCE; OUR ETERNAL CREATOR YAHOSHUAH, commonly referred to in English as our LORD and SAVIOR, JESUS the CHRIST. One thing far too many "scientists" seem unwilling to admit, and that is observable reality TAKES DIVINE INTELLIGENCE NOT ONLY TO CREATE IT BUT TO SUSTAIN AND PRESENT IT MOMENT BY MOMENT! Max Planck comprehended it correctly; why are others trying so hard to present themselves as obtuse to the OBVIOUS. "All matter originates and exists only by virtue of a force which brings the particle of an atom to vibration and holds this most minute solar system of the atom together.

We must assume behind this force the Existence of a Conscious and Intelligent Mind. This Mind is the matrix of all matter."

— Max Planck http://www.goodreads.com/author/quotes/107032.Max_Planck




[Michael Swenson](#)

[The Space Time Continuum](#)

The Fourth Dimension - Mapping Time and Space

Origin/Beginning Point 0 and with respect to time and space 0,0,0,0 exists within the center of the first spinning tetrahedron/sphere it occupies



Time is non-linear because it emanates in all directions from the origin

The origin of Time and Space is the origin of all matter in the Universe. All matter is constantly moving through Time and Space/The 4th Dimension. If we want to discuss what exists in the 3rd instance of time we would be discussing everything within the green sphere and so on with each passing increment of time more space is defined. So when the Creator brought everything into existence Time and Space had its origin at that instance. All matter was a superheated enormous mass in the Beginning and was set in motion spinning by the Creator. As He spun the superheated mass; molten liquids gases and solids flung outwardly from the origin into the 4th Dimension/Time and Space. So my friends not only is Space/Time Travel possible but everything in the universe is doing just that constantly. The Creator alone occupies all of the 4th dimension which is his House at once. So He experiences all of it past, present and future at once and tells us of the so called future in the form of prophecy. This is what the meaning is of the scripture Ecclesiastes 3:15 and is why the Holy bible always has been and always will be inerrant in prophecy.

One point = 1st dimension Two points/line = 2nd dimension Three points/triangle = 3rd dimension Four points/Tetrahedron = 4th dimension; specifically a spinning tetrahedron that occupies a sphere with radius equal to a instance of time. Interlocking spinning tetrahedrons map all Time and Space thusly.

<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=451991028213195&set=pb.100002069048072.-2207520000.1432901256.&type=3&theater>

...

[Continue Reading](#)

[May 21 at 7:15pm](#) · [Edited](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) There is NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER that YAHOSHUAH is the ONE TRUE GOD!!!!!!! NOTHING is MORE OBVIOUS in ALL of HISTORY! NOTHING and NO ONE even comes CLOSE to DEMONSTRATING DIVINITY! THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER THAT YAHOSHUAH BOTH DECLARED AND PROVED HE...[See More](#)



[The "I Am's" of Christ | The Institute for Creation Research](#)

Those "Christians" who think of the Lord Jesus Christ as just a great man...

ICR.ORG

[May 23 at 8:11am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael](#)

[Swenson](#)<https://www.facebook.com/156650811060818/photos/a.380142715378292.86370.156650811060818/953188091407082/?type=1&theater>

Evolution Says ...



In other words ...



Evolution is a Religion, don't fall for it.

[July 29 at 9:37am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <http://www.icr.org/article/8822>



[Carbon-14 Found in Dinosaur Fossils | The Institute for Creation Research](#)

New science directly challenges the millions-of-years dogma scattered...

ICR.ORG

July 29 at 10:10am · Like · Remove Preview




[Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/notes/god-in-science/the-evolutionary-tree-is-imaginary/892988044118276](https://www.facebook.com/notes/god-in-science/the-evolutionary-tree-is-imaginary/892988044118276)

July 31 at 2:56am · Like



[Michael](#)

[Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/124865734266192/photos/a.368731679879595.92668.124865734266192/860285144057577/?type=1&theater](https://www.facebook.com/124865734266192/photos/a.368731679879595.92668.124865734266192/860285144057577/?type=1&theater)



It's important that we don't question the big picture until the details have been worked out, because the big picture, as you can see, works perfectly

Evolution is a religion of origins

SOMETHING

:some thing; a certain undetermined or unspecified thing

:an unspecified or unknown thing; some thing

SOMEHOW

- : in one way or another not known or designated
- : in a way that is not known or certain

MAYBE

- : perhaps
- : possibly but not certainly

PROBABLY

- : very likely
- : almost certainly

MYSTERY

- : a religious truth that cannot be fully understood
- : something that has not been or cannot be explained
- : a work of fiction dealing with the solution of a mysterious crime

MIRACLE

- : an extraordinary event taken as a sign of the supernatural power of ELOHIM (CREATOR)
- : an extremely outstanding or unusual event, thing, or accomplishment

EVOLUTION is an ALTERNATIVE repackaged new age pagan nature worshipping worldview in origins

billions of years of evolution from SELF-EXISTING non living chaotic matter with no purpose or design to a single celled common ancestor to all life on EARTH

intended to replace the biblical historical worldview which explains who we are where came from and where we are going and gives glory to YAHUWAH ELOAH ALMIGHTY ONE.

ALTERNATIVE

- : offering or expressing a choice
- : being one of the things between which a choice is to be made

HYPOTHESIS

- :a proposition assumed as a premise in an argument.
- :a proposition, or set of propositions, set forth as an explanation for the occurrence of some specified group of phenomena, either asserted merely as a provisional conjecture to guide investigation (working hypothesis) or accepted as highly probable in the light of established facts.
- :a mere assumption or guess.
- :an unproved theory; a conjecture (example :EVOLUTION RELIGION of origins). It is not considered a true principle, law or doctrine.
- :A statement that explains or makes generalizations about a set of facts or principles, usually forming a basis for possible experiments to confirm its viability.
- :an assumption used in an argument without its being endorsed; a supposition

:a suggested explanation for a group of facts or phenomena, either accepted as a basis for further verification (working hypothesis) or accepted as likely to be true Compare theory

PSEUDO

:not actually but having the appearance of; pretended; false or spurious; sham.

:almost, approaching, or trying to be.

SCIENCE

:the systematic study of the nature and behaviour of the material and physical universe, based on observation, experiment, and measurement, and the formulation of laws to describe these facts in general terms

:the knowledge so obtained or the practice of obtaining it

1.The observation, identification, description, experimental investigation, and theoretical explanation of phenomena.

2.Such activities restricted to explaining a limited class of natural phenomena.

3.Such activities applied to an object of inquiry or study.

4.Knowledge, especially that gained through experience

Word Origin and History for sci-ence Expand

n.

mid-14c., "what is known, knowledge (of something) acquired by study; information;" also "assurance of knowledge, certitude, certainty," from Old French science "knowledge, learning, application; corpus of human knowledge" (12c.), from Latin scientia "knowledge, a knowing; expertness," from sciens (genitive scientis) "intelligent, skilled," present participle of scire "to know,"

In science you must not talk before you know. In art you must not talk before you do. In literature you must not talk before you think. [John Ruskin, "The Eagle's Nest," 1872]

THEORY

:a coherent group of tested general propositions, commonly regarded as correct, that can be used as principles of explanation and prediction for a class of phenomena: example; theory of gravity. principle, law, doctrine.

:a proposed explanation whose status is still conjectural and subject to experimentation, in contrast to well-established propositions that are regarded as reporting matters of actual fact.

Can be confused Expand

hypothesis, law, theory

Synonyms: idea, notion hypothesis, postulate.

Antonyms: practice, verification, corroboration, substantiation.

RELIGION

:a set of beliefs concerning the cause, nature, and purpose of the universe, especially when considered as the creation of a superhuman agency or agencies, usually involving devotional and ritual observances, and often containing a moral code governing the conduct of human affairs.

:a cause, principle, or system of beliefs held with faith and strong feeling

: a set or system of religious attitudes, beliefs, and ways of doing things

: the service and worship of ELOHIM or the supernatural

: belief in or devotion to religious faith or observance

: the state of a person in the religious life

Evolution before Darwin

Initially, the Latin word *evolutio* meant the unrolling of a scroll. This gives the flavor of the inevitable recitation of a story or message. The story is already written, and all that is required is to "read off" the message in an orderly fashion from beginning to end.


There is no standard definition of evolution. The first problem of evolution is that evolutionists seem unable to agree on a standard definition of what evolution is! The invented word "evolution" can mean something as narrow as "dog-breeding" and as wide as "all living things are the accidental products of a purposeless universe." "Evolution" therefore is a highly manipulative term that enables the evolutionist to switch back and forth between those very different meanings of "evolution" and any meaning in between, during and in the course of the same discussion. The large-scale evolutionary change dogma worldview cannot be explained as a product of merely the accumulation of generation-to-generation variations. As any creationist (and many evolutionists) would see the matter, making the case for 'evolution' as a general theory of life's history requires a lot more than merely citing examples of small-scale variation. It requires showing how extremely complex biological structures can be built up from simple beginnings by natural processes, without the need for input or guidance from a supernatural Creator.

The claim that EVERYTHING is the direct result of billions of years of evolution from SELF-EXISTING non living chaotic matter with no purpose or design to a single celled common ancestor to all life on EARTH is an ancient pagan worldview that has no evidence.

[August 1 at 7:32am](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)



[Michael Swenson](#) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ukq8D0i7TSE>



[Evolution lie refuted and proven wrong.](#)

All the laws of science/Thermodynamics PROVE evolution could not have happened. LIFE could not have happened...

YOUTUBE.COM

[Just now](#) · [Like](#) · [Remove Preview](#)